Advances in
Biotechnology & Microbiology
ISSN 2474-7637

Juniper Publishers
key to the Researchers

Short communication
Volume 16 Issue 5 - November 2021
DOI: 10.19080/AIBM.2021.16.555946

Adv Biotechnol Microbiol

Bio Symphonics: A Call for Musical Exploration in Plants

Abhimanyu Dhanraj[1], Anshika Arya[1] and Touseef Hussain[2]*

[1]*Department of Agriculture, Sanskriti University, India*

[2]*Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, India*

Submission: October 29, 2021; **Published:** November 16, 2021

***Corresponding author:** Touseef Hussain, Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

Abstract

Bioacoustics deals with the vibrations and sound related to the plants and organisms. Much exploration in depth of this topic has not been done yet but and little basic experimental conclusions have been explained. The paper explains the mechanoreception and transduction of the vibrations pertaining in nanoscale. These nanoscale vibrations are received by plants by means of hairs (i.e. micro-trichomes and microtrichia) which serve as sound receiver and as environmental changes detector. Much of the receptor mechanism in plants has not been identified, but detection and receiving of sound by plants has been identified. These are found as frequency selective and found to be generating behavioural modifications. There was an implication was that the acoustics released by the plants are due the result of abrupt tension release in plant water-transport system. Till date now the concept of acoustic emissions is considered as elusive. But the phenomenon associated with the sound and vibration transmissions are proved to be true in physical sense. In a recent study on maize found that, the roots of it can generate a spike – like, structured acoustic emissions. As an contradictory evidence between chemical and acoustic communications it has been found that chemical ecology and communications are very much advance and recognized by the researchers. acoustic transmissions are not much explored. The conclusion was drawn that, the plants grown along with other plants ecology, transmits and receive acoustics of about 60-90 nm (which does not require any medium to transmit) shown a healthy growth of the plant. Whereas the solitary plant discarding the localized ecology does not show a healthy growth in them. This theory was not accepted properly and was considered under the continuous review for future references. For these types of studies, multidisciplinary research is required for an effective exploration of these functional, ecological and ultimately evolutionary significances of the plant bioacoustics communications. These explorations can open new dimensions of acoustic ecology, chem-acoustic transmission, and effect of these transmissions on plant behavioural changes and growth. These also offers a unique opportunity to identify generic mechanism subtending the information processing in plants [1-5].

Keywords: Bioacoustics; Communication; Ecology; Frequency; Plant signaling; Sound

Introduction

Behavioural changes in living organisms have been seen for a lager succession in evolution. Communications In ecology as a part of behavioural sciences is the most important studied topics. Earlier, communication studies were mainly focused on animals as their signaling and eye-catching interactions were most appealing and attracted the attentions. Plants communications from starting has been considered as most controversial as their mechanisms of communication and interactions are completely different as compared to animals. Instead, this feature has attracted researchers for widespread exploration and attention. Our widespread understanding of plant ecology and communication is specifically rotating around chemical and behavioural signaling. Plants signaling during drought and flood situations are good examples of plant chemical and behavioural interactions for example- the alarming signals during drought by garden pea, Pisum sativum responds the stress cues neighbors by closing their stomata, which eventually reduces the stress for water and promotes the less nutrient uptake from the soil to decline the plant growth. This leads to decline in leaf size, less stem extension, and root proliferation. Which ultimately makes plant more palatable to herbivores. The accurate and ultimate communication mechanism in animals to sense and respond to it by coordinating with others have long been a subject of intense interest to study and explore more, whereas the plant communication mechanisms are not much advanced and recognized. Ultimately this the case for bioacoustics in plants. This feature is phylogenetically not much advance and developed as compared to other organisms who have much advance sensory modality and behavioural organization with their environment. Bioacoustics is a branch of behavioural sciences associated with sound produced by organisms and their

effect on other organism related to communications. Earlier, this bioacoustics aims at recording and studying the sounds that different animal species produce in their immediate environment. Instead, the audible sounds from plant leaves and sideways branches as raindrops touch them or winds swamps them, that plants generate their own symphony of sounds [6-8].

Do plants make sounds and do they recognise it?

Sound perception in humans are limited to audio frequencies in the range of 20-20000 Hz, and acoustic frequencies higher than this seems silent to us. Very low frequency <20 Hz are infrasonic and higher pitch sounds >20KHz are ultrasonic to both of which human ear is unable to detect. Sometime plants have been known to produce sound waves at the lower end of the audio frequency range of 10-240 Hz (audio acoustic emission) which is ultrasonic acoustic emission (UAE) ranges from 20-300 KHz. Since last 45 years these acoustics (UAE) have been measured and interpreted several times. Acoustic released from plants are generally interpreted as a result of the release of tension from water transport system of the plant followed by cavitation since the water is pulled by transpirational pull from roots to the leaves (better described in cohesion theory). This cavitation is caused due to the water bubble (embolism) dissolved with air, causing occluding in conduits and makes them unavailable to transport the water. These emitted acoustics are considered as an incidental process of physiological/biochemical activity, these are also sometimes considered as indicators of cavitation in drought stressed plants. In a contradictory argument regarding these sounds states that these plant acoustics are not caused by cavitation disruption of the stressed water conduits, instead they are produced by a largely stable bubble system of the water conduits capable of peristaltic transport of water to the leaves. Although it is still an undisputed theory that cavitation can induce acoustic emissions and these signals are so numerous in plants that it directly points to the cavitation alone. In some recent research it has been found that sounds generated by plants independent of cavitation related process and dehydration [9-13].

Why plants produces sound, does this convey anything?

Sound signaling in common sense is used to propagate information in Realtime without any obstructions. Most importantly, these acoustic signals can be altered in ways to deliver instantaneous changes to be analysed instantly in low intensity and long distances. These acoustic signals are generated with very low energy investment as these energies are emitted by the biophysical processes. Due to this very nature, sound signaling offers a very impressive and effective mechanism for communication when a very instantaneous action/response is required. Since, the role and potential utility of these acoustics in plant to plant or plant to immediate environment communication remains a unexplored and unwrenched subject. By considering the physiological viewpoint, we can tackle this issue of communication with very sophisticated sensing network readily mediated by phytohormones, which initiate quick responses to neighbors or canopy shade (shade avoidance syndrome) and chemical defences to herbivore damages. Although these chemical hormones are potential point of interactions that overlaps pathways which are involved in competitive and defence responses, this also includes mechanoreception of pressure waves (i.e. sound acoustic). Let's take an example of IAA (indole acetic acid), it is known to play a defence modulating response during wounding including initiating multiple changes in body plans, like stem elongation related to shade avoidance. The most interesting part is that the same hormone is also implicated in the mechanisms that mediate sound induced morphological modifications of the callus, that is required for facilitating rapid cell multiplication in wounded tissues. In the same manner a decrease in the levels of abscisic acid, that normally inhibits the stem elongation, has been speculated that the same hormone has resulted in sound-induced morphological responses to be facilitate above ground competitive ability. Moreover, we can recall that bacteria's have also communication strategy via ultrasonic sound waves, the idea that plants may communicate via sound signals should no longer be perceived as a research oddity [14-19].

Do acoustic emission from one plant affect the behaviour of the surrounding plants?

Let's take an example of rodents to understand the ultrasonic utterance during physiological process and then we will understand the effect of acoustic emission on other plants. Infant rodents in extreme cold exposure respond to it with bradycardia, the behavioural arousal which results in emission of ultrasounds. In humans, the common disturbances like sneezing, wheezing and coughing produces some physical ailments, in the same manner in rodents also the production of ultrasounds by infants is not driven by any motive for communication acoustically, but instead it's just a result of reflexive physiological and biochemical process i.e. abdominal compression that results in the emission of sounds as by-product. These rodents have ability to propel blood back to the heart to maintain the cardiac out even when physiologically challenged. This process of emission of ultrasounds in pups during cold stress is just analogous to the cavitation process described for the drought stressed plants. In both cases the emission of ultrasound is just a mere by-product of physiological strain. Now, we can answer the above-mentioned question more systematically. In above rodent's example the ultrasonic vocal emission by the infant elicits a phonotaxic response to the mother outside the nest. We cannot predict the proximate cause of signal emission but, these ultrasounds triggered the mother for behavioural response and benefitted the infant. Hence this signal transferred some information to the receiver which resulted in the behaviour change in much adaptive way which transcribes the genetic fitness of infant-mother system. The true communication system to be established may not require intention or benefits for all parties involved in it. So, in a nutshell we can conclude that a lot of information only travels one way and this is sufficient to make

How to cite this article: Abhimanyu D, Anshika A, Touseef H. Bio Symphonics: A Call for Musical Exploration in Plants. Adv Biotech & Micro. 2021; 16(5): 555946.DOI: 10.19080/AIBM.2021.16.555946

a living sustainable. This information transferring mechanism and processes proved to be challenging in plants alone. An alternative approach may be required and we could pioneer that communication is not always a final accomplishment.

The Alarming Response

Since over few decades we started appreciating the plant chemical responses towards the insect attacks by using extensively produced volatile organic compounds. These plant-to-plant communication towards the infestation is carried out in air channels, these cues produced by the infected or injured neighbours to confront the non-infected plants from the insect. Instead, the plant responses are still limited to the chemical traits that does not include other modes of communications which are yet to be explored in depth. This response including sound as its most influential signaling system mechanism for defence and communication. The idea of plant acoustic emission may serve as short range deterrents and attractants for some attacking insect is not new as it was proposed earlier by Mattson and Haack (1987), in the year 2009 it was again presented by Dunn and Crutchfield in which he shown the emission influence and the behaviour of such insect as wood borers. Still after this there are many questions which are to be explored and answered, researches are going through with moist advanced equipment and technologies, yet interest towards the understanding is much needed.

Conclusion

The current lack of studies on plants and sounds prevents in concluding the true potential abilities of bioacoustics and its transmission at this stage. But we should also remember the event took place over 100 years where scientists disbelieved the data shown that bats orient themselves using the sound, which later on hampered the discovery of 'Laryngeal echolocation' in these Bats. The birth of plant chemical ecology unveiled the 'talkative' nature of plants and the sequel of their volatile vocabulary. The combination between ecology and chemistry has greatly advance our understanding of plant behaviours and its responses. This has now been serving as inspiration for the purposeful cooperation between disciplines that would likely help in the full exploration of acoustic world of plants. In short conclusion, all considerable evidence emerging from contemporary research in plant and allied sciences is now highly recognizing plants as highly sensitive organisms that can interact, asses and can actively acquire information from their immediate environment.

References

1. Heil M, Ton J (2008) Long-distance signalling in plant defence. Trends Plant Sci 13(6): 264–272.
2. Hewitt PG (2002) Conceptual physics. San Francisco (CA): Addison Wesley. Holden C, The origin of speech. Science 303:1316–1319.
3. Jackson GE, Grace J (1996) Field measurements of xylem cavitation: are acoustic emissions useful? J Exp Bot 47(304): 1643–1650.
4. Jacobs DK, Nakanishi N, Yuan D, Camara A, Nichols SA, et al. (2007) Evolution of sensory structures in basal metazoan. Integrative and Comparative Biology 47(5): 712–723.
5. Jeong MJ, Shim CK, Lee JO, Kwon HB, Kim YH, et al. (2004) Plant gene responses to frequency-specific sound signals. Molecular Breeding 21: 217–226.
6. Jones G (2008) Sensory ecology: echolocation calls are used for communication. Curr Biol 18(1): R34–R35.
7. Jülicher F (2001) Mechanical oscillations at the cellular scale. C R Acad Sci (Paris) Ser IV 6: 849-860.
8. Milburn JA, Johnson RPC (1966) The conduction of sap II. Detection of vibrations produced by sap cavitation in Ricinus xylem. Planta 69(1): 43-52.
9. Müller J, Tsuji LA (2007) Impedance-matching hearing in paleozoic reptiles: evidence of advanced sensory perception at an early stage of amniote evolution. PLoS ONE 2(9): e889.
10. Murphy GP, Dudley SA (2007) Above- and below-ground competition cues elicit independent responses. Journal of Ecology 95(2): 261–272.
11. Walker SF (1998) Animal communication. In: Mey JL (ed). Concise encyclopedia of pragmatics. Amsterdam: Elsevier. p. 26–35.
12. Dudley SA, File AL (2007) Kin recognition in an annual plant. Biol Lett 3(4): 435-438.
13. Fabbri AA, Fanelli C, Reverberi M, Ricelli A, Camera E, et al. (2000) Early physiological and cytological events induced by wounding in potato tuber. Journal of Experimental Botany 51(348): 1267–1275.
14. Feng AS, Narins PM, Xu CH, Lin WY, Yu ZL, et al. (2006) Ultrasonic communication in frogs. Nature 440(7082): 333–336.
15. Paré PW, Tumlinson JH (1999) Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores. Plant Physiol 121(2): 325–331.
16. Zimmermann U, Schneider H, Wegner LH, Haase A (2004) Water ascent in tall trees: does evolution of land plants rely on a highly metastable state? New Phytologist 162(3): 575-615.
17. Yi J, Wang BC, Wang XJ, Wang DH, Chuanren D, et al. (2003) Effect of sound wave on the metabolism of Chrysanthemum roots. Colloids Surf B 29(2-3): 115-118.
18. Wang XJ, Wang BC, Jia Y, Chuanren D, Sakanishi A (2003) Effect of sound wave on the synthesis of nucleic acid and protein in Chrysanthemum. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces 29(2-3): 99-102.
19. Wiley RH (1983) The evolution of communication: information and manipulation. In: Halliday TR, Slater PJB (eds). Animal behaviour.

How to cite this article: Abhimanyu D, Anshika A, Touseef H. Bio Symphonics: A Call for Musical Exploration in Plants. Adv Biotech & Micro. 2021; 16(5): 555946.DOI: 10.19080/AIBM.2021.16.555946

DOI: 10.19080/AIBM.2021.16.555946

How to cite this article: Abhimanyu D, Anshika A, Touseef H. Bio Symphonics: A Call for Musical Exploration in Plants. Adv Biotech & Micro. 2021; 16(5): 555946.DOI: 10.19080/AIBM.2021.16.555946