



Review Article

Volume 14 Issue 4 - November 2024
DOI: 10.19080/AJPN.2024.14.555947

Acad J Ped Neonatol

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Bianca Gabriella de Oliveira

Infectious Endocarditis, Its Challenges and Updating the DUKE Criteria: A Literature Review



Bianca Gabriella De Oliveira^{1*}, Melissa Alves AM², Débora Maria QB³, Débora Oliveira M³, Alane Cássia Oliveira C⁴ and Luana Vine PL⁴

¹Acadêmica de Medicina pela Universidade Salvador-UNIFACS, Salvador-BA, Brasil

²Acadêmica de Medicina pela Universidade Iguazu-UNIG, Itaperuna-RJ, Brasil

³Acadêmica de Medicina pela Universidade Salvador-UNIFACS, Salvador-BA, Brasil

⁵Acadêmica de Medicina pela Faculdade ZARNS, Salvador-BA, Brasil

Submission: February 15, 2024; **Published:** November 25, 2024

***Corresponding author:** Bianca Gabriella de Oliveira, Acadêmica de Medicina pela Universidade Salvador-UNIFACS, Salvador-BA, Rua araçari, número 18, bairro muchila 2 (dois), Feira de Santana - Bahia, Brasil

Abstract

Infective endocarditis (IE) is an inflammatory process that occurs in the endocardium and also in implantable cardiac devices, the septum between the heart chambers, and in particular the heart valves, whether biological or prosthetic, this process comes from infection by some microorganism, especially bacteria. In 2023, the International Society of Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases (ISCVID) reformulated the diagnostic criteria, in particular those related to microbiological aspects. The aim of this study is to discuss the modified Duke criteria, therapeutic measures and future challenges. The present study is a narrative bibliographical review that analyzed scientific production in online databases. Because it is a rare pathology, its annual incidence is between 3 and 10 cases per 100,000 people, and it is twice as common in males. Its treatment is complex, but its mainstay is antibiotic therapy and, when necessary, cardiac surgery. Empirical antibiotic treatment should be carried out as soon as possible after blood culture tests have been taken. In conclusion, IE is one of the most laborious and difficult medical conditions for physicians to practice, so it is important to employ a systemic approach based on scientific evidence for diagnostic investigation and therapeutic care for good results and patient well-being.

Keywords: Infective Endocarditis; Treatment; Modified Duke Criteria; Future Challenges

Abbreviations: IE: Infective Endocarditis; ISCVID: International Society of Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases

Introduction

Infective endocarditis (IE) is an inflammatory process that affects the endocardium and also in implantable cardiac devices, the septum between the heart chambers [1], and especially the heart valves, whether biological or prosthetic, this process proceeds from infection by some microorganism, especially bacteria [2]. Even though this pathology was first described more than 450 years ago by the French physician Jean François Fernel [3], it is still a complicated disease today [4]. In the past, the group of individuals affected by IE was made up mainly of young patients with few comorbid conditions, such as those with

congenital or rheumatic heart disease [2]. However, due to the spread and development of broad-spectrum antimicrobials with better bacterial characteristics, this patient profile has changed, giving prominence to elderly, clinically unstable individuals with multiple morbidities [2]. This has allowed *Staphylococcus* to replace *Streptococcus* as the most prevalent agent of IE [5].

In general, all individuals can develop IE, however, some groups are more susceptible to being affected by pathogens that cause the disease [2]. For example, children with congenital heart disease, individuals who use injectable drugs, patients who have

undergone surgery to replace a native valve with a prosthetic valve, those who have been exposed to invasive procedures and people with a previous history of endocarditis [6]. The clinical manifestations of IE are extremely varied, including non-specific symptoms, isolated cardiac symptoms and, in the most urgent cases, signs of shock [7]. At the same time, in some patients, IE can progress silently, only appearing when there is congestive heart failure in progress [2]. However, some patients may present extracardiac symptoms which, although uncommon, help to identify the condition, such as signs of cutaneous or conjunctival hemorrhage, subcutaneous Osler nodules, Janeway lesions, localized peritonitis and splenomegaly [8].

IE can be related to previous non-specific infections, such as following a urinary tract infection or dental procedures [2]. In addition, bacterial adhesion can occur through medical equipment, such as procedural instruments, valve prostheses or implantations [9]. Another factor involved in the pathophysiology is the interference of the coagulation cascade, which causes hypercoagulability, stimulated by inflammatory processes [10]. This is why vegetation formation occurs, due to the deposition of fibrin and platelets, associated with the inflammatory process [11].

In 2023, the International Society of Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases (ISCVID) reformulated the diagnostic criteria for IE, in particular those related to microbiological aspects. New microorganisms were added to the class of typical pathogens, these being the ones that most commonly cause endocarditis, so that a greater number of agents listed in the group of typical pathogens aims to increase the sensitivity of the Duke criteria for diagnosing the pathology at an early stage [12]. The diagnosis of this infection is challenging, as it is common to find patients with a fever of unknown origin [1]. At the beginning of the infection, antibiotic therapy is the first choice, considering the epidemiological characteristics and the patient's clinical condition. However, when treatment with antimicrobial drugs is not effective, mainly due to the avascular vegetative presentation that makes it difficult to reach medications, surgical intervention is necessary. Surgery is recommended in cases of resistant infections, the development of abscesses and heart failure [2]. The aim of this study is therefore to discuss the modified Duke criteria, therapeutic measures and future challenges.

Methodology

This research is a narrative bibliographical review that looked at scientific production in an attempt to understand infective endocarditis and its challenges. To select the descriptors used, the Medical Subject Headings (Mesh) were consulted and the Boolean operators "AND" and "OR" were used to combine the terms. Articles written in English and Portuguese were selected from the following databases: Scielo, Lilacs and Pubmed, using the descriptors "infective endocarditis", "endocarditis" and "bacterial

endocarditis". As this was a literature review and used public domain databases, it was not necessary to submit the project to the Research Ethics Committee. Initially, the titles and abstracts of the selected articles were read. The articles were then read in full in the first stage. The following aspects of the selected studies were assessed: the impact factor of the journal in which the article was published; the results obtained and the period of publication.

Results

This is a rare pathology with an annual incidence of 3 to 10 cases per 100,000 people and is twice as common in males [10]. In addition, the most recent studies show that some conditions are more common in elderly individuals, such as artificial heart valve implantation, diabetes mellitus, acquired valve disease and hemodialysis, favoring an increase in the average age to more than 65 years in individuals affected by IE [13].

In Europe and the United States, 16% to 30% of cases are infections resulting from valve prostheses, and 25% to 35% of cases of native valve IE are infected within the hospital. In Brazil, valve disease as a result of rheumatic fever is still a significant risk factor for endocarditis, although in developed countries rheumatic disease is increasingly rare [1,14,15]. The year 2021 had the highest number of cases and the highest mortality rate (de Melo, et al., 2021). As for the hospital mortality rate, according to El-Dalati S, et al. [16], it ranges between 15% and 20%. However, a 2019 study points out that in the first 30 days, the rate can reach 30% [17].

Discussion

The Modified Duke Criteria, updated in 2023, are especially used in clinical practice and serve as an artifact for making choices about diagnosis and treatment quickly [18]. Initially suggested in 1994, these criteria for diagnosing IE were amended in 2000. However, the epidemiological characteristics, microbiological aspects and resources for diagnosing and treating this condition have changed significantly since the last update [19]. This is why, starting in 2021, ISCVID brought together a working group made up of various experts from different continents and from areas such as cardiovascular surgery, cardiology, radiology, clinical microbiology, cardiovascular pathology and infectology, to point out updates to the criteria used [19].

Since 1994, diagnostic imaging methods applied in medical practice have been evolving and becoming increasingly technological. This has brought benefits that have improved sensitivity and specificity rates compared to those of previous decades. Due to the adherence of these new technological resources in the most recent criteria, imaging modalities will be more prominent in the IE scenario. In addition, microbiological tests have also developed, but remain the same, with low specificity and high sensitivity [20]. The recent update maintains the original model of the modified Duke criteria, including major

imaging and microbiology criteria, which are complemented by minor criteria that may be correlated [20]. The new criteria, called the Duke-ISCVID 2023 criteria, are made up of major criteria that are divided into microbiological, imaging suggestive of IE, positive laboratory tests and surgical criteria, the last of which is new in the new adaptation [19].

The microbiological criteria include positive blood cultures for the usual IE pathogens isolated in two or more blood cultures or positive blood cultures for microorganisms that rarely cause the disease isolated in three or more blood cultures [19]. This criterion was one of the most important changes in the new update, as several pathogens were added to the typical group, such as *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*, *Abiotrophia*, *Granulicatella* and *Gemella*. This change has increased the sensitivity of the criteria, but decreased their specificity, which favors an increase in the probable classification of IE [12].

Imaging criteria include: transesophageal or transthoracic echocardiogram, FDG PET/CT or CT scan showing cardiac involvement compatible with endocarditis. In addition to including new regurgitation on the echocardiogram, laboratory tests include: PCR or other nucleic acid-based method, positive for *Bartonella* species, *Tropheryma whipplei* or *Coxiella burnetii*; or antibodies/ Indirect immunofluorescence for *Bartonella*. Due to this update, there is a new criterion, the surgical one, which is based on well-proven evidence of IE by intraoperative inspection during surgery, without major imaging criteria, microbiological or histological confirmation afterwards [19].

Regarding the minor criteria, they deal with:

- i. Predisposition, previous episodes of IE, congenital heart disease, previous history of valve intervention, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or injection drug use.
- ii. Immunological alterations, positive rheumatoid factor, glomerulonephritis, presence of Osler nodules or Roth spots.
- iii. Vascular alterations, arterial embolism, conjunctival hemorrhage, mycotic aneurysm, splenic abscess or Janeway lesions.
- iv. Microbiological phenomena, evidence that is not enough positive serology for pathogens that can generate IE, including PCR, metagenomic sequencing or amplicon.
- v. Imaging alteration, abnormal metabolic activity identified by FDG PET/CT within three months of ascending aortic graft, placement of intracardiac electrodes, valve prosthesis or other prosthetic material.
- vi. Fever greater than 38.0 degrees [19].

Therefore, considering the aforementioned criteria, the definitive diagnosis of IE is based on clinical or pathological criteria.

The former assess the presence of pathological intracardiac lesions, whether abscesses or vegetations, which show active endocarditis on histology or identification of microorganisms according to histological analysis of cardiac tissue lesions or culture. The presence of one of the pathological criteria is acceptable for a definitive diagnosis. For a definitive clinical diagnosis, 1 major and 3 minor or 2 major and 5 minor clinical criteria are required. On the other hand, for a probable diagnosis of IE, the presence of 3 minor criteria or 1 minor and 1 major criterion is sufficient [19].

The treatment of IE is complex, but its mainstay is antibiotic therapy and, when necessary, cardiac surgery [art9]. According to Rezar R et al. [21], empirical antibiotic treatment should be carried out as soon as possible after blood culture tests have been taken. Decisions on which antibiotic, form of therapy and timing depend on a number of factors, such as whether the affected valve is artificial or natural, and in the case of the latter, whether the surgery was recent or late. It is also necessary to consider whether the infection is community-acquired, nosocomial or of another type, as this affects the type of bacteria and possible resistance. When the pathogen is known, treatment should be targeted [22]. In any case, intravenous antibiotic therapy is indicated for around four to six weeks, which can be reduced to two weeks in stable patients, as long as the correct monitoring is maintained [23]. When drug therapy does not produce results, surgery is considered as the likely intervention. This therapy aims to remove damaged heart tissue and valve replacement in necessary cases [24].

However, this form of treatment should be advised before the end of the antibiotic treatment period in certain cases, for example when there is an uncontrolled infection, the need to prevent recurrence of embolism or refractory heart failure. In addition to these conditions, it is essential that the choice of surgery is based on an analysis of the patient's condition, possible contraindications and comorbidities that increase the risk of the procedure [7]. If infection occurs due to an implanted device, it should be removed, and if still necessary, rapid reimplantation should be avoided due to the risk of new infection [22].

Conclusion

Infective endocarditis is one of the most laborious and difficult medical conditions for doctors in their clinical practice. Employing a systematic approach based on scientific evidence for diagnostic investigation and therapeutic care is extremely important for good results and patient well-being. Furthermore, when necessary, it is important to have discussions in multidisciplinary teams, detailed clinical assessment and new diagnostic techniques, as well as using the new Duke criteria in 2023, which aims to increase the tools available for investigating suspected patients and proposes standardization, aiding diagnosis and better outcomes in clinical management.

References

- Mesquita, Claudio T, Davi SY, Natali SS, Brenda FCR, et al. (2023) Endocardite infecciosa: uma revisão narrativa. *Medicina, Ciência e Arte* 2(1): 73-84.
- Silva, Nicolas A, Sarah Karolayne FT, Cleudson Luiz S, Raul MB, Ester FP, et al. (2023) Endocardite infecciosa e atualizações nos Critérios de Duke. *Revista Eletrônica Acervo Médico* 23(11): e14631-e14631.
- Fye WB (1997) Jean François Fernel. *Clinical Cardiology* 20(12): 1037-1038.
- Williams Michael L, Mathew PD, Nicholas M, Daniel T, Manish M, et al. (2021) Epidemiology of infective endocarditis before versus after change of international guidelines: a systematic review. *Therap Adv Cardiovasc Dis* 15: 17539447211002687.
- Bignoto Tiago (2023) Endocardite Infecciosa: Novos Espectros, a Mesma Gravidade. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 120(3): e20230117.
- Do Carmo Carvalho L, Frederico Augusto OT, Pedro Henrique AM, Alessandra Lopes M, Thallys Henrique MN, et al. (2022) Endocardite infecciosa: uma abordagem sobre a variância microbiológica diante diferentes fatores Infectious endocarditis: na approach on microbiological variance in the face of different factors. *Brazil J Health Rev* 5(1): 2867-2874.
- Chirillo F (2021) New approach to managing infective endocarditis. *Trend Cardiovasc Med* 31(5): 277-286.
- Yallowitz AW e Decker LC (2023) Infectious Endocarditis. *StatPearls Treasure Island (FL): Stat Pearls*.
- Santos Jéssica Moreira, João Pedro De ARocha, Larissa Rodrigues BO, Lucas MC, Luiz HN, et al. (2020) Desafios da Endocardite Infecciosa: Uma Revisão Integrativa. *Brazil J Surg Clin Res* 32(3): 7-132.
- Dargains Renato Rachman (2023) Endocardite infecciosa: revisão de literatura.
- Kamde Saakshi P, Anjankar Anil (2022) Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, Antimicrobial Therapy, and Management of Infective Endocarditis, and Its Complications. *Cureus* 14(9): 29182.
- Sunnerhagen Torgny, Senneby Erik, Rasmussen Magnus (2023) Microorganisms That Commonly Cause Infective Endocarditis: What About Aerococcus in the Duke-International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases Criteria?. *Clin Infectious Dis* 77(8): 1217-1219.
- Khaledi Mansoor, Fatemeh S, Hamed A, Jaber H, Aram Asareh Zadegan D et al. (2022) Infective endocarditis by HACEK: a review. *J Cardioth Surg* 17(1): 185.
- Sousa Catarina, Pinto Fausto J (2022) Endocardite Infecciosa: Ainda mais Desafios que Certezas. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiol* 118(5): 976-988.
- Chen Edwin, Brandon J Smith, Nicholas Marschalk, Neel Shah (2022) Epidemiology and pathophysiology of infective endocarditis. In: *Infective Endocarditis*. Academic Press p. 1-23.
- EL-Dalati Sami, Daniel C, Michael S, Richard W, James Riddell, et al. (2020) Clinical practice update on infectious endocarditis. *Am J Med* 133(1): 44-49.
- HU Wangling, Wang Xindi, SU Guanhua (2021) Infective endocarditis complicated by embolic events: Pathogenesis and predictors. *Clin Cardiol* 44(3): 307-315.
- Mcdonald EG, Gloria A, Abdullah Tarik A, Michael C, Nicolas Cortes-P, et al. (2023) Guidelines for Diagnosis and Management of Infective Endocarditis in Adults: A WikiGuidelines Group Consensus Statement. *JAMA Network Open* 6(7): e2326366.
- Fowler VG, David TD, Christine Selton-Suty, Eugene A, Arnold SB, et al. (2023) The 2023 Duke-ISCVID Criteria for Infective Endocarditis: Updating the Modified Duke Criteria. *Clinical Infectious Dis* 77(4): 518-526.
- Lindberg H, Ulrika Snygg-M, Andreas B, Magnus R (2023) Consequences of excluding *Enterococcus faecium* as a typical endocarditis pathogen in the Duke-ISCVID criteria- endocarditis is endocarditis even if the bacterium is uncommon. *Clin Infect Dias* 77(8): 1216-1217.
- Rezar R, Michael L, Markus H, Georg H, Jan Marco K, et al. (2021) Infective endocarditis e A review of current therapy and future challenges. *Hellenic J Cardiol* 62(3): 190-200.
- Cimmino G, Roberta B, Tiziana F, Massimiliano O, Daniele M, et al. (2023) Current Views on Infective Endocarditis: Changing Epidemiology, Improving Diagnostic Tools and Centering the Patient for Up-to-Date Management. *Life* 13(377): 1-18.
- Almeida GMF, Pedro Lucas Costa Evaristo, Ruan Enrique GV, Fabrícia Batista, Juliana Rodrigues G, et al. (2023) O que há de novo no tratamento da endocardite infecciosa: uma revisão integrativa. *Brazil J Health Rev* 6(1): 2119-2132.
- Araújo KRS, Emily Santos Reis, Mara Régina Lucena C (2021) Ocorrência da endocardite infecciosa em usuários de drogas endovenosas. *Res Soc Dev* 10(13): e170101321108.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/AJPN.2024.14.555947](https://doi.org/10.19080/AJPN.2024.14.555947)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats (Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>