



Research Article

Volume 8 Issue 1 – November 2017
DOI: 10.19080/ARGH.2017.08.555726

Adv Res Gastroentero Hepatol

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Amit Sharma

A Cross-Sectional Study on Diabetes Mellitus Type-2 at a Tertiary Care Hospital



Amit Sharma^{1*}, Pooja Sharma², Ankit Gaur², Manik Chhabra² and Rupinderjeet Kaur³

¹Research Scholar, Uttarakhand Technical University, Dehradun, UK & Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, ISF College of Pharmacy, Moga, (Punjab) India

²Department of Pharmacy Practice, ISF College of Pharmacy, Moga, Punjab

³Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, G.G.S.M.C.H, Faridkot, Punjab

Submission: October 07, 2017; Published: November 21, 2017

*Corresponding author: Amit Sharma, 1Research Scholar, Uttarakhand Technical University, Dehradun, UK & Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, ISF College of Pharmacy, Moga, (Punjab) India, Email: choice.amit@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: Drug utilization research is a collection of descriptive and analytical methods for the quantification, understanding and evaluation of the processes of prescribing, dispensing, and consumption of medicines, and for the testing of interventions to boost the quality of these processes. Diabetes is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both.

Methodology: In this prospective study a total 1150 in-patients were enrolled who were suffering from diabetes mellitus type-2 at department of medicine in Guru Gobind Singh Medical College and Hospital, Faridkot, Punjab, India. Aim of the study was to evaluate the demographic data of the patients who are treated with oral diabetes medications and drugs used for different diabetic complications as well as to evaluate the prescribing pattern, duration of stay and cost of the therapy. The data was analyzed by using SPSS ver.24.

Result: The diabetes mellitus type-2 was found to be most prevalent 36.7% in the age group of 51 - 60 years. Females were also found more prevalent to the diabetes mellitus type-2. Familial association with diabetes mellitus type-2 was seen in 49.3% of the patients. Cost of total medication of diabetic patients with and without complications was found to be INR 890 and 750 respectively.

Conclusion: The present study helps to find out current prescribing pattern of oral diabetic medications with different co-morbidities with respect to diagnosis, cost of treatment and it also highlights the need for comprehensive management of diabetic patients, including life style changes, dietary control, hypoglycemic agents, cardiovascular prevention, treatment of complications and co-morbidity. Therefore, through the existing prescribing patterns, attempts can be made to improve the quality and efficiency of drug therapy.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus Type-2; Diabetic retinopathy; Diabetic nephropathy; Diabetic neuropathy; Drug utilization review; Pharmacoeconomic evaluation

Introduction

Drug utilization research is a collection of descriptive and analytical methods for the quantification, understanding and evaluation of the processes of prescribing, dispensing, and consumption of medicines, and for the testing of interventions to boost the quality of these processes [1]. They are foremost exploratory tools to assess whether drug therapy is rational or not and for creating a sound socio-medical and economic basis for healthcare decision making [2]. Drug utilization review combined with elements of disease management expands the focus from only drug-specific problems to an approach that also uses treatment guidelines and algorithms to evaluate the appropriateness of drug

therapy in the context of treating particular diseases. This requires consideration of health outcomes and pharmacoeconomic findings [3].

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is one of the oldest diseases known to man, which was first reported in Egyptian literature about 3000 years ago. The term 'diabetes' was first coined by Aetæus of Cappadocia (81-133 AD). Mellitus (honey sweet) was added by Thomas Willis (Britain) in 1675, when he detected sweetness in urine. It is said that it was first noticed by the ancient Indians; Shushruta had named it as 'Madhumeha' [4]. Avicenna, the famous Arabian physician who first described the complications

and progression of the disease [5]. Diabetes is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. The chronic hyperglycemia of diabetes is associated with long-term damage, dysfunction and failure of various organs, especially the eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart and blood vessels [6]. According to IDF [7], the number of people with diabetes in the world in 2013 was 382 million, which is going to increase to almost 592 million by 2035. India has the hesitant similarity of being home to a large number of people suffering from diabetes. According to IDF, 65.1 million of adults in India suffered from diabetes in the year [8]. It has been predicted that the prevalence of diabetes in the adult population in India will be 6% by the year 2025 [9].

Complications of diabetes mellitus

The negative impact of hyperglycemia on endothelial function and pathological changes observed in diabetes is supported in the literature [10,11]. Endothelial cells in vitro are exquisitely sensitive to high glucose (25mM) [10] have carefully characterized four major molecular signaling mechanisms activated by hyperglycemia in endothelial cells and other cell types vulnerable to hyperglycemic injury. These include activation of PKC (via diacylglycerol), increased hexosamine pathway flux, increased advanced glycation end product (AGE) formation, and increased polyol pathway flux.

- I. Microvascular complications
 - a. Diabetic retinopathy
 - b. Diabetic nephropathy
 - c. Diabetic neuropathy
- II. Macrovascular Complications Of Diabetes

Methodology

This prospective study was conducted at department of medicine in Guru Gobind Singh Medical College and Hospital, Faridkot, Punjab, India. The study was carried out for the period of 8 months (September, 2015 to April 2016) after getting approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee of the ISF College of Pharmacy. Aim of the study was to evaluate the demographic data of the patients who are treated with oral diabetes medications and drugs used for different diabetic complications as well as to evaluate the prescribing pattern, duration of stay and cost of the therapy. Data was collected from patient case sheet and medications chart and laboratory tests reports in to the data collection form after signing the Informed consent form by the patient. The data was analyzed by using SPSS ver.24.

Study population

The study population included In-patients of either gender diagnosed with Diabetic comorbidities and diabetes mellitus type-II. A total 1150 patients of patients were enrolled into the study based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Those who were Type-I diabetic patient, gestational diabetic patient, outpatient of diabetes

and the patients who were not willing to participate was excluded from the study.

Results

Demographic data of the patients

In demographic data of the patient's various variables such as age, weight distribution, gender, family history of diabetes and social habits such as smoking, drug abuse, alcoholism were taken of the enrolled patients in the study. In the demographic evaluation female was found to be more prevalent to disease (p-value 0.017). Demographic data of patients is represented in the Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic data of the patients.

Variable	Percent
Age distribution of the patients (years)	
30-50years	24.7
51-70	68.7
>70	6.7
Weight distribution of the patients (Kg)	
Weight	
50-70	52
71-80	38
>81	10
Gender distribution of the patients	
Male	46.0
Female	54.0
Yes	49.3
No	50.7
Dialysis status of the patients (years)	
>10	28.7
<5	46.0
6-10	25.3
Social habits of the patients	
Alcoholic	23.3
Alcoholic+Drug Abuse	9.3
Alcoholic+Smoker	10.0
Drug Abuse	3.3
Not Addicted	52.7
Smoker	1.3

Status of complications of diabetic patients

It includes variables such as No complications, microvascular complications, macrovascular complications, infections, acute complications and Complications of complications. Details are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Status of diabetic complications.

Complications	Percent (%)
No complications	8.67
Microvascular	16
Macrovascular	28.67
Infections	11.33
Acute complication	3.33
Complications of complications	32
Total	100

Status of comorbidities

Out of 1150 Diabetes Mellitus Type-2 patients admitted, 91.34% patients had various comorbidities along with diabetes; remaining 8.67% patients didn't have any comorbidity. Among DM patients with comorbidities, 6.67% patients had infections, 0.7% patients had respiratory, 0.7% patients had haematological, 0.7% had gastrointestinal disorder 28.67% patients had cardiovascular, 2% had endocrine, 12% had hepatic disorder, (13.34%) had renal disorder, (2.62%) had Triopathy, and (26.67%) patients had more than one comorbidities.

Laboratory values

Fasting blood sugar level of the diabetic patient during admission: On evaluation of FBS level, Majority of them had FBS range 201-300 mg/dl that is, 41.3% patients and 6.7% patients had value >500mg/dl. Mean and Standard deviation were found to be 292±115.98.

SGOT and SGPT: In admitted patients, 65.3% had normal SGOT level and 67.3% had normal SGPT level and remaining 34.7% and 32.7% had abnormal SGOT and SGPT level respectively. Mean and standard deviation of SGOT were found to be 57.59±105.48. Mean and standard deviation were found to be 51.4±87.08.

Sr. creatinine

On evaluation of patient admitted, 57.3% had normal level of sr. creatinine and 42.7% had abnormal level. Mean and standard deviation were found to be 2.62±2.6.

Lipid profile

Table 3: Laboratory values of the diabetic patients.

SGOT	Percent (%)
>35IU/L	34.7
0-35IU/L	65.3
SGPT	
>35 IU/L	32.7
0-35 IU/L	67.3

Sr. creatinine	
>1.3mg/dl	42.7
0.8- 1.3mg/dl	57.3
CHL	
200- 239mg/dl	30.7
<200mg/dl	62.0
>240mg/dl	7.3
LDL	
100-129mg/dl	2.7
130-159mg/dl	32.7
160-189mg/dl	59.3
>190mg/dl	2.7
HDL	
>40mg/dl	74.0
<40mg/dl	26.0
TG	
150-199mg/dl	36.0
<150mg/dl	53.3
200-499mg/dl	10.7

On evaluation of patients for lipid profile, majority of them had CHL level <200mg/dl that is, 62%, majority had LDL level between 160-189mg/dl that is, 59.3%. Moreover, 74% patients had >40mg/dl and maximum patients had TG >150mg/dl that is, 53.3%. Laboratory values details are shown in (Table 3).

Choice of anti diabetic drugs

On evaluation of prescription pattern of anti-diabetic drugs, Admitted Diabetes mellitus Type-2 patients 52% patients were on mono therapy followed by 28% patients were on two drug combinations and 20% patients were on three drug combinations which is represented in (Table 4).In dosage regimen the p-value of mono therapy was found to be 0.01 and p-value of two drugs combination was 0.01 and three drugs combination p-value was 0.02 subsequently.

Pharmacoeconomic evaluation

Cost of total medication of diabetic patient's type-2 with and without complications was found to be INR 890 and 750 respectively. Out of diabetic mellitus type-2 patients with complications, 50% patients underwent dialysis for that cost per patient was INR 6000 per month and annual cost of intervention per patient was found to be INR 18,000. Details are shown in the Table 5 below.

Table 4: Choice of anti-diabetic drug.

Monotherapy	No. of patients No. of patients	Two drugs combinations	No. of patients	Three drugs combinations	No. of patients
Insulin	720	Insulin Glargine+Voglibose 0.3mg	420	Huminsulin R+Met 500mg+Glimeperide 2mg	s
		Huminsulin R+Glipizide	10	Huminsulin R+Met 500mg+Tenegliptin	
		Huminsulin R+Huminsulin NPH	30	Huminsulin R+Met 500mg+Vidagliptin 50mg	
		Huminsulin R+Insulin Glargine	50	Lupinsulin R+Met 1000mg+Glibenclamide	
		Lupinsulin R+Met 1000mg	20	Lupinsulin R+ Met1000mg+Glimperide 3mg	
		Lupinsulin R+Voglibose 0.3mg	80	Lupinsulin+Met1000mg+Glipizide	
		Lupinsulin R+Tenegliptin	30	Lupinsulin+Glimperide 2mg+Pioglitazone 15mg	
		Lupinsulin R+Pioglitazone 15mg	30	Lupinsulin+Met 2000mg+Glipizide	
		Met 500mg+Glibenclamide 5mg	50	Met 500mg +Glibenclamide 5mg +Voglibose0.3mg	
		Met 500mg+Gliclazide 80mg	30	Met 1000mg+Glipizide+Tenegliptin	
		Met 500mg+Glimperide 2mg	10	Metformin 1000mg+Metformin 500mg+Glimperide 1mg	
		Met 1000mg+Huminsulin R	10		
		Met 1000mg+Glimepride	60		

Table 5: Annual cost of insulin, OADs and insulin plus OADs per patients.

Cost of Drugs (In Rupees)	DM Without Complication Median (IQR)	DM With Complication Median (IQR)	Average Cost of Diabetic Drug Per Patient Per Month Median (IQR)	Average Cost of Diabetic Drug Per Patient Per Annum Median (IQR)
Insulin	140(140)	140(10)	560(40)	6,720(480)
OADs	60(120)	80(100)	320(400)	3,840(4,800)
Insulin +OADs	180(60)	220(130)	880(520)	10,560(6,240)
Cost of total medication charges	DM with complication Median (iqr)	DM without complication Median (iqr)	Cost of total medication charges	DM with complication Median (iqr)
In Rs	890(287)	750(1207)	In Rs	890(287)
Cost of intervention per patients			Cost of intervention per patients	
Type of intervention	No. Of patients (%)	Cost per patient In rs	Type of intervention	No. Of patients (%)
Dialysis	50 (50)	6,000 per month	Dialysis	50 (50)

Discussion

Diabetes Mellitus Type-2 was found to be most prevalent 36.7% in the age group of 51 - 60 years as aging causes increase prevalence of diabetes and carbohydrate intolerance in the elderly due to associated decrease in insulin secretion in response to glucose load as well as increased insulin resistance in peripheral tissues [12]. Further, insulin sensitivity also decreases with increasing age and obesity [13-16].

Diabetes Mellitus Type-2 was also found to be most prevalent 23.3% in the weight distribution of 71- 75kg, the people who are overweight or have obesity. Out of these patients suffering from type 2 diabetes mellitus, more than half 54% of the patients were

females as compared to males 46% this shows that females are more prevalent to this disease. Familial association with diabetes mellitus type 2 was seen in 49.3% of the patients. A study conducted by M. S. [17] reported the same.

During the study period, 52% patients were on mono therapy followed by 28% patients were on two drug combinations and 20% patients were on three drug combinations. Among mono therapy, insulin is most prescribed drug in 48% of the patients followed by (biguanides) metformin 500mg for 2.67% and metformin 1000 mg in 1.34% and p-value is 0.01. A study reported improved glycemic control following the addition of sulfonylureas to metformin, but deterioration resumes as early as 6 months [18]. Furthermore

lifestyle modifications, inclusive of dietary modification, regular physical activity and weight reduction are indicated for prevention of diabetes mellitus type-2 [9,12-15,19].

On evaluation of prescribing trends for individual class of drugs used in management of diabetes mellitus type-2, insulin consumption was high 86.67%, followed by biguanides 38% then sulfonylurea 28%. Reported biguanides 24.5% and sulphonylureas 19.9% as the most commonly prescribed OHAs [20]. Reported the proportion of newly diagnosed patients initially treated with metformin increased from 51% to 65%, whereas those receiving sulfonylureas decreased from 26% to 18% [21]. Boccuzzi et al., reported consumption of sulphonylureas that of 66.4% and metformin 24.3% [22]. A study from Taiwan reported sulfonylureas as the most commonly prescribed drug class followed by biguanides [23].

Conclusion

The present study helps to find out current prescribing pattern of oral diabetic medications with different co-morbidities with respect to diagnosis, cost of treatment and it also highlight the need for comprehensive management of diabetic patients, including life-style changes, dietary control, hypoglycemic agents, cardiovascular prevention, treatment of complications and co-morbidity. Therefore, through the existing prescribing patterns, attempts can be made to improve the quality and efficiency of drug therapy. Hence in future, improving the patient knowledge regarding the drug therapy, dose and frequency will perhaps improve the quality of life in Type II diabetic patients.

Acknowledgement

We thank Mr. Parveen Garg, Chairman; Prof. GD. Gupta, Principal-Cum-Director, and Department of Pharmacy Practice, ISF College of Pharmacy for providing support of Micromedex software for this study. The corresponding author had full access to all data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

References

1. Bergman U (2006) The history of the Drug Utilization Research Group in Europe. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Safety* 15(2): 95-98.
2. Sutharson L, Hariharan RS, Vamsadhara C (2003) Drug utilization study in diabetology outpatient setting of A tertiary hospital. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology* 35(4): 237-240.
3. Truter I (2008) A review of drug utilization studies and methodologies. *Jordan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 1(2): 91-104.
4. Ahmad N, Feyes DK, Nieminen AL, Agarwal R, Mukhtar H (1997) Green tea constituent epigallocatechin-3-gallate and induction of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in human carcinoma cells. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 89(24): 1881-1886.
5. Olokoba AB, Obateru OA, Olokoba LB (2012) Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a review of current trends. *Oman Med J* 27(4):269-273.
6. American Diabetes Association (2012) Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 35(Supplement 1): S64-S71.
7. Asia S, Aguirre F, Brown A, Cho N, Dahlquist G, et al. (2013) IDF Diabetes Atlas. *IDF Diabetes Atlas (6th edn)*, pp. 3-4.
8. (2013) International Diabetes Federation. *IDF Diabetes Atlas (6th edn)*.
9. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH (1998) Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025: Prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. *Diabetes Care* 21(9): 1414-1431.
10. Nishikawa T, Edelstein D, Du XL, Sho ichi Yamagishi, Takeshi Matsumura, et al. (2000) Normalizing mitochondrial superoxide production blocks three pathways of hyperglycemic damage. *Nature* 404(6779): 787-790.
11. Sheetz MJ, King GL (2002) Molecular understanding of hyperglycemia's adverse effects for diabetic complications. *JAMA*. 288(20): 2579-2588.
12. Meneilly GS, Elliott T, Tessier D, Hards L, Tildesley H (1996) NIDDM in the elderly. *Diabetes Care* 19(12): 1320-1325.
13. Rizvi A (2007) Management of diabetes in older adults. *Am J Med Sci*. 333(1): 35-47.
14. Nelson ME, Rejeski WJ, Blair SN, Duncan PW, Judge JO, et al. (2007) Physical activity and public health in older adults: Recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 39(8): 1435-1445.
15. Kirkman MS, Briscoe VJ, Clark N, Florez H, Haas LB, et al. (2012) Diabetes in older adults. *Diabetes Care* 35(12): 2650-2664.
16. Nelson ME, Rejeski WJ, Blair SN, Duncan PW, Judge JO, et al. (2007) Physical activity and public health in older adults: Recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 116(9): 1094-1105.
17. Sultana G, Kapur P, Aqil M, MS Alam, KK Pilli (2010) Drug utilization of oral hypoglycemic agents in a university teaching hospital in India. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 35(3): 267-277.
18. Cook MN, Girman CJ, Stein PP, Alexander CM, Holman RR (2005) Glycemic control continues to deteriorate after sulfonylureas are added to metformin among patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 28(5): 995-1000.
19. Markku L, Lehto S (1997) Epidemiology of macrovascular disease in diabetes. *Diabetes Rev* 5(4): 294-315.
20. Das P, Das BP, Rauniar GP, Roy RK, Sharma SK (2011) Drug utilization pattern and effectiveness analysis in diabetes mellitus at a tertiary care centre in Eastern Nepal. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol* 55(3): 272-280.
21. Desai NR, Shrank WH, Fischer MA, Avorn J, Liberman JN, et al. (2012) Patterns of medication initiation in newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus: quality and cost implications. *Am J Med* 125(3): 302.
22. Health TN, Ex N (2001) Utilization of Oral Hypoglycemic Agents 24(8).
23. Chiang CW, Chiu HF, Chen CY, Wu HL, Yang CY (2006) Trends in the use of oral antidiabetic drugs by outpatients in Taiwan: 1997-2003. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 31(1): 73-82.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/ARGH.2017.08.555726](https://doi.org/10.19080/ARGH.2017.08.555726)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>