



Research Article

Volume 23 Issue 2 - November 2019  
DOI: 10.19080/ARTOAJ.2019.23.556232

Agri Res & Tech: Open Access J

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Asmamaw Kasahen

# Integrated Diseased Management on Coffee Wilt Disease Caused by *Fusarium Xylarioides* and its distribution in Ethiopian Review



Asmamaw Kassahun Wassie\*

Department of Plant sciences, Agriculture and Environmental Sciences Faculty, Ethiopia

Submission: July 11, 2019; Published: November 12, 2019

\*Corresponding author: Asmamaw Kasahen, Debre Tabor University, Department of Plant sciences, Plant pathologist Lecturer; Agriculture and Environmental Sciences Faculty, Director of research and publication, Email:-asmamawk7@gmail.com , Tell: +251911065399, Debre Tabor University, Po Box 272, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia

## Abstract

Coffee belongs to the family Rubiaceae, which is widely distributed throughout the tropical region. Ethiopia the center of origin for *Coffea arabica*. *Coffea arabica* originates from Southwest Ethiopia Keffa. *Coffea arabica* very important for local people as a cash crop for the local and international market. *Coffea arabica* is attack by several diseases among these major diseases are coffee berry disease, coffee wilt disease, coffee leaf rust are the major fungal diseases to reduced yield of coffee in the country. Coffee wilt disease caused by fungal pathogen that affect vascular wilt disease, it was the main factor of coffee tree death in different coffee growing regions, with national average disease incidence 28% and severity 5%. Coffee wilt disease is a soil-borne pathogen, and this presents difficulties in the application of chemical treatments; affected fields to be left as fallow for some years or other crops planted. Currently in coffee trees are less productive because of variable factors, among that diseases are the major constraints which threatening coffee genetic resources. These diseases are occurring with varying degree of infestation and distribution in the Ethiopia. Thus, the coffee conservation strategies should take the disease into account and apply the recommended principles and practices of disease management. Integrated disease management for coffee *Fusarium* wilts, one of the most devastating and challenging type of diseases impairing agricultural production worldwide, based on the IPM: (i) use of pathogen-free planting material;

(ii) site selection to avoid planting into high risk soils;

(iii) reduction or elimination of *F. oxysporum* inoculum in soil;

(iv) use of biocontrol agents for protection of healthy planting material from infection. Based on our review findings suggest resistant coffee varieties through large-scale collection and screening against the pathogen would be inevitable for increase coffee yield.

**Keywords:** IPM; Leaf rust; Wilt disease; Wilt diseases

**Abbreviations:** CWD: Coffee Wilt Disease; CLR: Coffee Leaf Rust; EPPO: The Plant Protection Organization; ID: Inoculum Density; CFU: Colony Forming Units; CBD: Next to Coffee Berry Disease

## Introduction

Coffee belongs to the family Rubiaceae, which is widely distributed throughout the tropical region. Although there are many species of coffee, the two commercially important ones are *Coffea arabica* and *Coffea robusta* Pieters & Van der Graff [1]. Both species can grow best on deep, free- draining, loamy soils, with a good water holding capacity and a slightly acid soil (pH 5-6) and soil fertility is important for good production of coffee Lewis Ivey [2]. Coffee has rapidly become one of the prominent commodity crops in global transactions, and it stands first in earning foreign currency for many countries including Ethiopia. Ethiopia has the longest tradition of coffee production and consumption in the world with a traditional way of cultivation and the performance of inimitable 'coffee ceremony'. Coffee is crucial to the Ethiopian economy because it contributes 10% of the country's gross domestic product

and generates more than 40% foreign exchange earnings. Coffee remains crucial to the biological, social and economic values of the country, but despite being the birthplace of coffee, Ethiopia has not exploited and benefited from the crop to the best of its genetic and ecological potential.

Coffee production systems remain predominantly traditional, and diseases and insect pests greatly reduce the productivity and quality of the produce. Historically, Coffee Wilt Disease (CWD) on *C. arabica* was first observed in Ethiopia by Stewart [3], who described the wilting symptom and also identified the causal organism to be *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *coffea*. Later, based on comparative studies of the isolates collected from dying *Arabica coffee* trees from different origins and different *Coffea* spp., the causal was confirmed to be *Gibberella xylarioides* Heim & Saccas,

of which *Fusarium xylarioides* Steyaert is the imperfect (conidial) state Kranz & Mogk [4]. Van der Graaff & Pieters [5] reported that this pathogen caused a typical vascular wilt disease and was the main factor of coffee tree death in Ethiopia. During recent years, the prevalence and importance of CWD have been markedly increasing throughout coffee producing areas of the country Girma & Oduor [6,7]. Besides drastic reduction in average yield, the crop is attacked by several diseases, among that coffee leaf rust; coffee berry disease and coffee wilt disease are the major fungal diseases contributing to reduced yield in the country.

### Objective of the Study

- To review the main impact of coffee wilt disease and distributions in Ethiopia.
- To review the status of coffee wilt disease including its occurrence and its management options.

### Literature Review

#### Coffee in Ethiopia

Coffee is the most important cash crop for Africa as a whole, contributing some 10% of the total foreign exchange earnings in the continent. A number of coffee-producing countries in Ethiopia depend on the export of this commodity for more than half of their foreign exchange earnings Phiri. Arabica coffee has become a major global commodity which accounts for 66 percent of the world coffee market. Coffee production in Ethiopia is broadly grouped into four systems on the basis of biological diversity of the species and level of management, namely, forest, semi-forest, garden and plantation coffee Meyer & Paulos [8,9]. More importantly, majority of the coffee farmers in the producing countries are small scale growers who primarily depend on coffee for their livelihood. Its cultivation, processing and transportation provide employment for millions of people. The average yield in Ethiopia is low (about 700kg/ha per year) which is half of that achieved.

#### Coffee wilt disease distribution

Trachemys's or vascular wilt of coffee historically was first observed in 1927 on *Coffea excelsa* in Central Africa Republic and first reported in 1946 and the causal agent was identified as (*Fusarium xylarioides*) by Steyaert, Girma Adugna [6]. Coffee wilt disease was first observed in Ethiopia in the Kaff Province by Stewart [3], who described a wilting of *C. arabica* and mistakenly classified it as *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *coffaeae*. Lejeune also noted the presence of this disease on Arabica coffee. Later, the causative agent of the disease was confirmed to be *Fusarium xylarioides* Kranz & Mogk [4]. The pathogen also attacks *Coffea arabica* and is endemic in all coffee growing areas of Ethiopia. During the 1950s and 1960s, it was considered to be the most serious disease of coffee in Africa and destroyed millions of coffee trees Oduor [7]. Coffee Wilt Disease (CWD), which is caused by *Fusarium xylarioides* Steyaert, the conidial stage of *Gibberella xylarioides* Hem. & Saccas is the most serious problem of Robusta coffee (*Coffea canephora*) production

in Ethiopian. Coffee wilt disease the main symptoms are yellowing and collapsing. The disease enters through a low surface wound or a shallow root. Afterwards the connecting vascular strands in the stem are discoloured violet brown to black in a broad, hardened band. CWD occurs in all of the above coffee production systems to varying extent of damage among and within coffee fields and districts (Woredas) depending on different interacting factors, mainly susceptibility of coffee trees, intensity of cultural practices and environmental conditions Merdassa [10]. CWD, for many years remained as an endemic disease of *Coffea arabica* but has gained importance over time in almost all coffee-growing regions. The nationwide biological survey of CWD showed that on average, 27.9% of 1607 sample coffee farms were affected, with disease incidence ranging from 15% to 34.0% and disease severities varying between 1.3% and 5.0% Oduor [7].

#### Survival and spread of the pathogen

The pathogen survives in the soil in the form of microconidia, macroconidia, chlamydospores and perithecium with ascospores. The pathogen appears to be a soil inhabiting fungus which can penetrate through wounds either above or below ground. Inside the coffee the fungus invades the water conducting system (xylem) and blocks the movement of water upwards from the roots to the rest of the plant. The timing from first symptoms to death of the tree varies from days in young plants to eight months in trees more than ten years old. Once the fungus infects the coffee tree, all affected trees eventually die Girma Adugna. When seedlings with healthy roots are transplanted into either naturally or artificially infested soils, no wilting symptoms appeared. Infection exhibits when the tap roots are injured and transplanted into naturally or artificially infested soils, and also only on those seedlings inoculated by stem wounding through ditching with *F. xylarioides* infested scalps or by injecting the conidial suspensions with needles Lewis Ivey. The stem nicking or root drenching inoculation methods also elaborate the roles of contaminated farm implements in cross inoculating coffee trees as well as disseminating the coffee wilt pathogen in the field CABI [11].

#### Principles of disease management coffee wilt disease

Coffee suffers from a range of co-evolved diseases including Coffee Berry Disease (CBD), Coffee Wilt Disease (CWD) and Coffee Leaf Rust (CLR) caused by *Colletotrichum kahawae*, *Gibberella xylarioides* and *Hemileia vastatrix*, respectively. From those Disease Coffee Wilt Disease is sever affect the growth of coffee, its nature soil-borne pathogen and this presents difficulties in the application of chemical treatments; affected fields may need to be left as fallow for some years or other crops planted. For diseases caused by soil-borne pathogens, such as *Fusarium* wilts, which are mainly monocyclic in nature, this disease continued reliance on unproductive coffee varieties, the widespread and prevalence of pests and diseases. Integrated Disease Management programs are based on the following principles of disease control Coffee Wilt Disease: those method are the control principles

- a) Exclusion of the pathogen or
- b) Eradication, of the pathogen;
- c) Escape from infection;
- d) Development and use of genetic resistance against the pathogen;
- e) Protection of the plant from infection; and
- f) Reduction of disease in infected plants.

They can be applied by biological, chemicals, cultural, physical, and regulatory methods, depending of the nature of the agents employed and methods should be targeted to excluding the pathogen, as well as reducing the amount and/or efficiency of the initial inoculum.

Therefore, IDM strategies of those Coffee Wilt diseases within the framework of sustainable agriculture would include:

- (i) Use of pathogen-free planting material;
- (ii) Site selection to avoid planting into high risk soils;
- (iii) Reduction or elimination of *F. oxysporum* inoculum in soil;
- (iv) Use of biocontrol agents for protection of healthy planting material from infection by resident or incoming inoculum subsequent to planting;
- (v) Use of resistant cultivars regardless the level of resistance; and
- (vi) Choice of cropping practices to avoid conditions favoring infection of the plant.

**Use of pathogen-free planting material:** Many wilt-inducing *Fusaria* can be transmitted in infected seeds, vegetative propagated planting material (e.g., bulbs, cuttings, rootstocks, scions, etc.), or transplants developed from them. Use of infected propagating material can lead to introducing the pathogen or its pathogenic variants into pathogen-free production areas or pathogen-free soils in areas where the pathogen occurs already. Therefore, the importance of checking the health of that material through certification programs, phytosanitary inspection, and quarantine legislation cannot be too strongly emphasized. Failure in this pursue may lead to the establishment of new pathogens in a country, as it recently happened in Spain with *Fusarium circinatum*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *basilici*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopercisi*, *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *cucurbitae* race 1, etc. More importantly, introduced exotic pathogens can potentially be invasive and give rise to devastation in cultivated as well as natural plant communities. The Plant Protection Organization (EPP), being well aware of such a risk, has placed a warning on quarantine fungal pathogens, of which 21 species are already present in member states and 39 are currently absent from them. One of most important difficulties for the detection and identification of *Fusarium* wilt pathogens concerns the similarity in morphology between pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of *F. oxysporum*.

**Site selection to avoid sowing/planting into high risk soils:** Proper selection of the planting site optimizes the use of *F. oxysporum* ff. spp.-free planting material in non-infested soils. For that purpose, accurate information on the disease history of the field with regard to production of susceptible crops is of utmost importance. Disease risk assessment based on Inoculum Density (ID) Disease Incidence (DI) relationships would be most useful if the inoculum density in soil at planting sites could be estimated to avoid those with high risk for severe disease. Populations of *F. oxysporum* in soil can be assessed by soil dilution plating using selective media. However, this does not allow inferring ID of pathogenic strains because of their morphological similarity with non-pathogenic ones. For example, De Vay et al. assessed the ID of *F. oxysporum* in cotton soils by agar dilution plating but identified colonies belonging to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* by further pathogenicity assay to cotton seedlings. That allowed estimating the number of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* Colony Forming Units (CFU) g<sup>-1</sup> soil and relating a range of 1,100 to 2,608 CFU g<sup>-1</sup> soil to increase of *Fusarium* wilt incidence over physiological time in degree days and effects on crop growth and yield. Ben Yehet used a similar approach for *Fusarium* wilt in carnations and found that 6, 25, 120, 770, and 3,500 CFU g<sup>-1</sup> soil of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *dianthi* determined a final DI of 2, 5, 13, 34, and 57 %, respectively; the flower yield being related inversely to ID of the pathogen. Conversely, disease risk can be made by directly bio-assaying the planting soil with susceptible and resistant host cultivars.

**Use of CWD resistant cultivars:** These are undoubtedly the most feasible option for controlling CWD in all affected countries. Use of resistant cultivars was found to be highly effective when combined with other control measures during the previous outbreak of the disease. The combined use of selected cultivars and biocontrol agents can provide better disease control than the use of any of them alone. It has been reported that varietal differences in resistance to the pathogen and suggested the use of resistant varieties as a means of control. However, developing resistant varieties is long-term and requires considerable resources (human, facilities and financial). Megan reported that Uganda has advanced further with its CWD breeding programme, using single-tree selection, and some of the more promising selections are currently being evaluated on-farm. The DRC is also trying to select varieties for CWD-resistance. This method was very successful in controlling outbreaks of the disease in 1950s and 1960s in West and Central Africa, where affected coffee is uprooted and destroyed and the fields replanted with resistant cultivars of *C. canephora* such as cultivar 'robust', but recently resistance is broken down due to emergence of a new form of the fungus *Meseret Wondimu* also reported apparent differences for the same materials planted in different areas of the region, i.e. certain *C. liberica* and *C. canephora* varieties showing resistance in Ivory Coast were completely susceptible in CAR, suggesting the resistance was either being influenced by environmental conditions or there were different physiological races of the pathogen in different localities of this region. Vander Graaff & Pieters [12] reported that coffee

lines of *C. arabica* in Ethiopia showed differences in resistance to the CWD pathogen, thus providing potential for controlling CWD using resistant varieties in Arabica coffee. They suggested that resistance in *C. arabica* was quantitative in nature and horizontal, and there was no evidence of single-gene (vertical) resistance that could be readily overcome by pathogen adaptation.

### Coffee wilt disease management practices

**Cultural control:** Unlike with other coffee diseases, namely, CBD and CLR, coffee trees infected by CWD cannot be saved. Successful control of the disease depends on the principles of disease prevention (avoid wounding of any part of the plant) and phytosanitation. The conventional phytosanitary approach of uprooting and burning the whole infected coffee tree on the spot is strongly recommended to coffee farmers to contain the disease as soon as symptoms are seen, but this relies on early diagnosis. Use of CWD-infected trees for any purpose is prohibited and replanting with susceptible coffee seedlings should be delayed at least for 2 years Girma [4]. Cultural weed control activities like slashing and digging should be avoided in CWD-prone coffee fields, and agronomic practices (pruning and stumping) that bring about wounding in coffee trees should be done with efficiently disinfected tools. Disinfection of farm implements such as machetes, bow saws and pruning shears with potent disinfectants (>75% alcohol) followed by intense heating with fire is strongly recommended to farmers whenever pruning, rejuvenating old coffee trees and thinning newly suckers. Farmers' field schools recommend growing cover crops such as *Desmodium* sp. and haricot bean, which are very efficient in suppressing weeds (so reducing the need for slashing) and as legumes, promote the growth of coffee trees. Applying ash, mulch and slashing between plots with hand weeding around coffee trees were also promising treatments in CWD control trials CABI [11].

**Immediate intervention:** The first step taken in this direction was training of both extension staff and farmers in disease recognition, followed by sensitization of farmers and civic leaders. Sanitary control measures were then implemented which include:

- a) Regular inspection and destruction of affected trees by cutting trees at ground level, chopping and burning in situ.
- b) Uprooting and burning of the entire plant produces best results. Neighboring trees should be cut back and thick mulch applied.
- c) Diseased trees when left standing in the field continue to discharge spores to neighboring or distant trees for several months.
- d) Destruction of dead or severely diseased trees proceeded by superficial burning of the upper parts before any handling/uprooting trees assists in reducing dispersal of spores.
- e) Wounding trees should be avoided, since wounds provide entry points for the pathogen.
- f) Restriction of movement of infected plants as firewood, coffee husks and kiboko from infected areas to other areas.
- g) Restriction of movement of planting materials from infected to non-infected areas.
- h) Banning the use of coffee husks as mulch in coffee fields, as a precaution.
- i) Use of volunteer seedlings from forests on infected coffee plantations is discouraged. Seedlings from infested plantations may harbour the disease without showing obvious symptoms.
- j) Milling coffee should be done in the district of production.
- k) Continuous surveillance of disease in all coffee growing areas to keep track of spread and ascertain the effectiveness of control measures.
- l) Training and sensitization of all stakeholders on dangers of the disease etc.

**Biological control:** Biological control is the reduction of inoculum density or disease producing activities of a pathogen or parasite in its active or dormant state, by one or more organisms accomplishing naturally or through manipulation of the environment, host or antagonists, or by mass introduction of one or more antagonist. Biological control is the strategy for reducing disease incidence or severity by direct or indirect manipulation of microorganisms (Tesfaye and Kapoor, 2004). Antagonists that produce antibiotics kill pathogens and eradicate or control them from substrate. Some microorganisms occupy the niches and exclude pathogens from becoming established, thereby protecting plants from infection. Biological control has attracted great interest because of increasing regulation and restriction of fungicides or unnecessary control attempts by other means [13]. It is especially attractive for soil borne diseases because it needs critical evaluation of economics of the country and the pathogens that are difficult to reach with specific fungicides. The result of a recent *in vitro* study conducted by Muleta & Negash on antagonistic effects of some rhizobacteria and Trichoderma isolates against the *F. xylarioides* were promising. Of 23 bacterial isolates obtained from rhizospheres of arabica coffee trees in south-west Ethiopia, 21 significantly inhibited the mycelial spread of *F. xylarioides*. *Bacillus subtilis*, designated as isolate 'AUBB20', was the most antagonistic to this pathogen. *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* has shown good potential in inhibiting the mycelial growth of *F. xylarioides*.

**Fungicide spray:** Fungal diseases of coffee are the major constraints to reduce coffee production and quality in major coffee producing countries of Africa. Next to Coffee Berry Disease (CBD) the most limiting factors for coffee production in Central and East African countries is tracheomyces or vascular wilt disease of coffee caused by *Fusarium xylarioides* Steyaert imperfect stage (*Gibberella xylarioides* Heim and Saccas Perfect stage). The major difference between tracheomyces and many other coffee diseases is that it kills all affected trees at all stages of development. The fungicides were sprayed at the rates, schedules and combinations indicated in (Table 1) [14]. Three fungicides, Ridomil Gold (metalxyl 8%+ Mancozeb 64%) 68% Wp 2.5kg/ha with

protective and curative action and Pencase 80% WP (Mancozeb) at the rate of 2.5kg/ha (Cornell University, 1988) with protective action and Novel was used systemic at the rate of 2.5kg/ha coffee wilt disease effectively control. Spraying was performed by using manually pumped knapsack sprayers of 20 litter's capacity [15]. During fungicide spraying using surrounded by plastic sheet in order to avoid spray drift to adjacent area. Fungicide spray intervals

weekly (7day), biweekly (14day), three weekly (21) and 28day intervals until maturity. The intervals between successive sprays were constant week when diseased symptoms occurred. The data on disease severity recorded one day before spray and 7 days after spraying using, sprayed at early flowering and fruit setting (Table 2). The Spraying started soon after the appearance of coffee wilt symptoms.

**Table 1:** Incidence (%) of CWD Under Farmers' Condition in South West Ethiopia. Source:-(Phiri & Baker, 2009).

Location	Field	Estimated Area(ha)	Incidence (%)		
			Range	Mean	
Gera	Gicho 1	1.0	11.5-35.0	24.5	
	Gicho 2	1.5	8.7-38.0	21.7	
	Sedi-Loya	1.0	23.9-27.1	25.5	
Chira	Gure-Genji	5.2	38.0-75.0	51.5	
	Chira1	4.5	55.0-89.0	77.0	
	Chira2	1.5	14.0-42.0	32.3	
Tobba	Yachi	0.3	12.1-20.8	16.5	
	Kilole	0.4	14.6-23.9	19.3	
	Ageyu	0.2	8.3-27.0	16.1	
Gomma	Shashamene	0.5	12.7-19.4	10.8	
	Echemo	0.3	12.5-15.5	13.6	
	Sombo	0.2	25.8-34.2	29.2	
Gechi	Camp	0.5	25.0-70.0	48.9	
	Mine-kobba	5.0	15.0-55.0	35.0	
	Asendabo	5.0	37.7-78.6	59.7	
Yayo	Jitto	1.0	11.0-34.0	22.5	
Mettu	Sor	0.5	8.0-33.3	20.4	
Mean of ranges and means		(Total 17)	(Total 28.6 ha)	8.3-89.0	30.9 = 18.2

**Table 2:** The Character of Fungicides used for Coffee Wilt their Respective Doses.

NO	Trade Name Fungicide	Common Name	Dosage (kg/ha)	Fungicide Spray Schedule
1	Pencozeb 80% Wp	Mancozeb	3	Disease on set and 7,14,21,28 days after
2	Ridomil Gold 68% Wp	Metaltxyl	3	Disease on set and 7,14,21 days after
		+Mancozeb		
3	Novel	-	3	Disease on set and 7,14,21 days

### Research strategies

Prospects for long term control measures depend on research activities, which will be implemented to generate information on: -

- I. Epidemiology and biology of the pathogen, to cover mode of spread and transmission, survival, presence of alternate hosts particularly, major food crops generally intercropped with coffee
- II. Host plant resistance/tolerance is being explored by inoculation of all available germplasm, breeders' materials and current recommended arabica and Robusta varieties. The same materials are also planted out on farmers' fields

where coffee has been destroyed by wilt. Before release to farmers, it is essential to screen all materials for resistance to dermatomycosis.

III. The effects of production systems (intercropping, soil fertility management and cultivation on non-host crops for 5 or more years followed by coffee) on wilt incidence and severity will be elucidated. The role of weather factors e.g. rainfall, temperature. etc. as well as soil types, effect of organic manures, are also to be assessed and correlated to wilt incidence.

IV. The role played by other *Fusarium* species found associated with *F. xylarioides* needs to be clearly spelt out.

V. Factors responsible for the appearance and disappearance of wilt disease are to be investigated.

VI. The use of biocontrol agents e.g. a strain of *Fusarium oxysporum* to suppress *Fusarium* and use of systemic fungicides as drench in planting holes etc. are to be investigated. These could find use for spot treatments on large plantations where the farmers have invested a lot of money.

VII. Collaboration in research at regional and international levels needs to be strengthened in order to speed up progress through exchange of information, resistant materials etc. These

will eventually provide information required for formulation of an integrated control procedure

### Conclusion and Suggestion

Currently in Ethiopia most coffee trees are less productive because of variable factors, among that diseases are the major constraints which threatening coffee genetic resources. These major diseases are coffee leaf rust, coffee wilt disease and coffee berry diseases are becoming important and that occurs with varying degree of infestation and distribution in the main area of Ethiopia. Thus, the forest coffee conservation strategies should take the disease into account and apply the recommended principles and practices of disease management. This economic loss coupled with difficulty to manage the disease indicates that CWD is the second leading disease of coffee, after CBD in Ethiopia and the most distractive coffee production threat without any solution till now. The soil-borne nature of the pathogen and perennial character of coffee have made management of the disease difficult through the conventional control approach of 'uproot and burn infected trees at the spot.

Development of resistant coffee varieties through large-scale collection and screening against the pathogen would be inevitable, although it seems that most coffee trees exhibit susceptibility in the forest coffee populations. Investigation in the area of developing resistant varieties, biocontrol agents, use of proper cultural practices and screening effective chemicals as a last resort or use of these techniques in integrated pest and disease management strategy could minimize the damage caused by diseases and would definitely conserve coffee genetic pools and facilitate utilization of coffee genetic resources at their place of origin in natural rainforests for global benefits. It is important that prior to their release to coffee growers, resistant coffee types should also be tested for their level of resistance to those diseases particularly coffee wilt and coffee leaf rust. Therefore, employing effective, easily applicable, environmentally sound and economically feasible control approaches should be exercised for disease management of Arabica coffee in forest populations of Ethiopia.

### References

1. Doane TA, Silva LCR, Howrath WR (2019) Exposure to light elicits a spectrum of chemical changes in soil. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*.
2. Mei Mo, Ken Yokawa, Yinglang Wan, František Baluška (2015) How and why do root apices sense light under the soil surface? *Journal of Frontiers in Plant Science* pp. 6-775.
3. Kasperbauer MJ, Hunt PG (1988) Biological and photometric measurement of light transmission through soils of various colors. *Bot. Gaz.* 149(4): 361-364.
4. Mandoli DF, Ford GA, Waldron LJ, Nemson IA, Briggs WR (1990) Some spectral properties of several soil types: implications for photomorphogenesis. *Plant, Cell and Environment* (1990) 13, 287-294.
5. Briggs WR, Mandoli DF (1984) Fiber optics in plants. *Sci. Am.* 251: 90-98.
6. Mishra BB (1996) Theory of Photopedology. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science.* 44(3): 541-543.
7. Mishra BB, Heluf GK, Sheleme B (2006a) Photopedogenesis Theater Session 14 July 85:1.3, A New Frontier of Soil Genesis - New Chapter in Soil Science. 18<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Soil Science, USA.
8. Mishra BB, Heluf Gebrekidan, Sheleme Beyene (2006b) Photopedogenesis: Concept and application. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* 4: 12-14.
9. Andrea Ciani, Goss U, Schwarzenbach RP (2005) Light penetration in soil and particulate minerals. *European Journal of Soil Science* 56(5): 561- 574.
10. Jeffery S, Harris JA, Rickson RJ, Ritz K (2009) The spectral quality of light influences the temporal development of the microbial phenotype at the arable soil surface. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41: 553-560.
11. Garcia-Pichel F, Lopez-Cortes A, Nubel U (2001) Phylogenetic and morphological diversity of cyanobacteria in soil desert crusts from the Colorado Plateau. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67: 1902-1910.
12. Redfield E, Barns SM, Belnap J, Daane LL, Kuske CR (2002) Comparative diversity and composition of cyanobacteria in three predominant soil crusts of the Colorado Plateau. *Fems Microbiol Ecol* 40: 55-63.
13. Zhang B, Zhang Y, Downing A, Niu Y (2011) Distribution and composition of cyanobacteria and microalgae associated with biological soil crusts in the Gurbantunggut Desert, China. *Arid Land Res Manag* 25: 275-293.
14. Davies LO, Schäfer H, Marshall S, Bramke I, Oliver RG, et al. (2013) Light Structures Phototroph, Bacterial and Fungal Communities at the Soil Surface. *PLoS ONE* 8(7): e69048.
15. Adam Levy (2017) Light sensitive E. coli paint a colourful picture. *The Nature*.
16. Jie Chena, Hans-Peter Blumeb, Lothar Beyer (2000) Weathering of rocks induced by lichen colonization- a review. *Catena* 39(2): 121-146.
17. Robert Cooper (1953) The Role of Lichens in Soil Formation and Plant Succession. *Ecology* 34(4): 805-807.
18. Rehm S (1986) *Ed Fundamentals of Plant Production in Tropics and Sub-Tropics.* Verlag Eugen Ulmer Struttgart, Germany pp. 263.
19. Alfred E Hartemink ed (2006) *The Future of Soil Science.* International Union of Soil Science, PO Box 353, 6700 AJ Wageningen The Netherlands.
20. Mishra BB (2015c) Soil Classification: Issues and Opportunities for Indian System, The 26<sup>th</sup> Dr. S.P. Raychaudhuri Memorial Lecture, NBSS & LUP, Nagpur. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 63 (Supplement): S41-S52.
21. <http://www.planetary.org/blogs/emily-lakdawalla/2010/2430.html>.
22. Mishra BB, Richa Roy, Sheleme Beyene, Kibebew Kibret (2016) Climate change vs Soil management: Challenges, opportunities and policies. *Agriculture Research & Technology Open Access Journal* 2(5): 555598.
23. Bohn, HL, McNeal BL, O'Connor GA (1985) *Soil Chemistry*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York p. 15-17.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License  
DOI: [10.19080/ARTOAJ.2019.23.556232](https://doi.org/10.19080/ARTOAJ.2019.23.556232)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers  
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats  
**( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)**
- Unceasing customer service

**Track the below URL for one-step submission**  
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>