

Research Article Volume 9 Issue 3 - August 2017 DOI: 10.19080/GJ0.2017.09.555765



Glob J Otolaryngol <u>Copyright ©</u> All rights are reserved by Deepthi KJ

An Overview of Vowel and Consonant Production by Four Kannada Speaking Preschool Children with Repaired Cleft Lip and Palate

Deepthi KJ^{1*} and Pushpavathi M²

¹Junior Research Fellow, Department of Speech-Language Pathology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, India

²Professor of Speech Pathology, Department of Speech-Language Pathology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, India

Submission: July 21, 2017; Published: August 08, 2017

*Corresponding author: Deepthi KJ, Junior Research Fellow, Department of Speech-Language Pathology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysuru, India, Email: kjdeepthi86@gmail.com

Abstract

Greater number of pre-school children with cleft lip and palate (CLP) continue to exhibit atypical consonant production post-surgery. This is often described in terms of type and frequency of phonetic errors such as substitution, omission, distortion and addition. The present study aimed to investigate the phonetic features at word level, in four Kannada speaking preschool children with repaired cleft lip and palate (RCLP) and compare it with typically developing children (TDC). Each child considered in the study was asked to name/repeat age appropriate pictures from Kannada Diagnostic Photo Articulation Test (KDPAT). The responses were audio-video recorded and later transcribed by native Kannada speaking examiner using International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) and extension IPA. Data thus obtained was analyzed. Results revealed that children with RCLP exhibited more phonetic errors than TDC, with substitution errors being most frequent, followed by omissions and distortions. Analysis of substitution errors further revealed that Kannada phonemes of all place of articulation were equally affected whereas in terms of manner of production, only nasals remained unaffected. Conclusions: The unique phonological features of Kannada do have an impact on the degree, type and frequency of errors noted in children with RCLP.

Keywords: SODA analysis, place and manner of articulation, Kannada language.

Abbreviations: TDC: Typically Developing Children; CLP: Cleft Lip and Palate; RCLP: Repaired Cleft Lip and Palate; IPA: International Phonetic Alphabet; KDPAT: Kannada Diagnostic Photo Articulation Test; SODA: Substitution, Omission, Distortion and Addition Errors; WHO: World Health Organization

Introduction

Phonetic errors in individuals with Cleft lip and palate (CLP) have often been reported in literature by describing the errors, listing the type-frequency of those errors and comparing the same with the typically developing children (TDC) [1]. They are often reported to exhibit substitution, distortion and omission errors in varying degree depending on the age and presence of velopharyngeal inadequacy [2-4]. India, with the second-largest population in the world has 24.5 million births per year, among which, 27,000 to 33,000 are reported with clefts [5]. The phonological features of Indian languages are unique. Kannada is one among the major south Indian languages. It is the official language of the state of Karnataka of India. It has around 35 million speakers [6]. It has five long and five short vowels with different height and placements within oral cavity

(Table 1) [7]. Also there are two diphthongs present in viz /ai/ and /au/ [7].

Table 1: Ten vowel	s present in K	Cannada I	language o	depicted	accordir	ıg
to their height and	placement.					

	Front	Central	Back
High	i i:		u u:
Mid	e e:		0 0:
Low		a a:	

Additionally there are 34 consonants in Kannada language (Table 2) which are further grouped as 25 classified and nine unclassified consonants [7,8]. The classified consonants are further devided into groups of five depending on the place of articulation. Each of these smaller groups consists of unaspirated-voiceless, aspirated voiceless, unaspirated voiced, aspirated voiced and nasal consonants. The remaining 9 unclassified consonants

consists of /j/, /r/, /l/, /w/ /s/, /s/, /j/ /h/and /[/ [7,8]. Additionally consonant /f/ is borrowed form Urdu language is used mostly in loaned words [7].

		Labial	Dental	Retroflex	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
Stop	voiceless	$\mathbf{p} \; \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$	t t ^h	t t ^h		k k ^h	
	voiced	b b ^h	d d ^h	d dʰ		g g ^h	
Affricate	voiceless				է լ էլ		
	voiced				ત્યુ ત્યુ ^મ		
Fricative	voiceless	f	S	ş	ſ		h
Nasal		m	n	η	ŋ	ŋ	
Liquid			lr	l			
Glide		W			j		

Table 2: Consonants in Kannada Language depicted according to their place and manner of articulation.

Adopted from Guntman & Avanzati, 2013 [7]

There are handful of studies which reveal that the phonological development is complete around 4 years in TDC who are native speakers of Kannada [9-13]. However, review of literature revealed that, there are no studies reporting the phonetic errors in Kannada speaking children with CLP. On the contrary, there is availability of extensive research findings about phonetic characteristics of English speaking children with CLP [3,14,15]. These findings however do not warrant their generalization to Kannada speaking population. This is because the number of vowels, number and type of consonants, consonant cluster combinations, the occurrence of phonemes in different positions within a word, etc., vary between Kannada and English [16]. Hence there is a need to explore the phonetic features exhibited in Kannada speaking children with repaired cleft lip and palate (RCLP).

Aim

The present study aimed to investigate the phonetic features in Kannada speaking preschool children with repaired cleft lip and palate (RCLP) and compare with age and gender-matched typically developing children (TDC).

Objectives

a) To investigate the mean percentage of phonetic (vowels and consonants) errors in children with RCLP and compare it with TDC.

b) To investigate the mean percentage of substitution, omission, distortion and addition errors (SODA) in children with RCLP and compare it with TDC.

c) To analyze the errors related to substitutions, based on place and manner of articulation across groups.

Methodology

Participant selection

The study consisted of two groups of Kannada speaking children between three to four years of age. The first group, RCLP, consisted of three boys and a girl with a mean age of 3.25 years. All the children had undergone surgery for unilateral complete cleft lip and palate between one to one and a half years of age. These children had not attended speech therapy post surgery, and were selected by convenience sampling when they reported to the institute for speech evaluation. Presence of submucous cleft, facial cleft or any concomittant syndromes, hearing loss, frequent ear discharge, upper respiratory tract infection and intellectual disability were ruled out using standared clinical protocols.

The second group consisted of age and gender-matched typically developing children (TDC). These children were selected from play-homes in and around the institute. The presence of any disability was ruled out by administering World Health Organization (WHO) checklist [17]. A written consent was taken from the parents of all the children before including them in the study. The study was carried out by following the ethical guidelines of the institute for bio-behavioral research involving human beings [18]. The study was based on comparative design.

Speech sampling procedure

Each child was made to sit comfortably on a chair inside a quiet room with a handy-cam (Sony DCR-SR88) mounted on a tripod stand at a distance of one meter, for the purpose of audiovideo recording. Also, a unidirectional microphone was kept at a distance of 10cm from the mouth of the participant, so that the speech sample could be simultaneously audio recorded by Adobe Audition 3.0. This arrangement was made as there was a potential of losing key acoustic cues required for perceptual analysis with the use of handy camera alone due to fidelity and distance factors. Later each participant was asked to name age appropriate pictures displayed on the computer screen from computerized version of Kannada Diagnostic Photo Articulation Test (KDPAT) [19]. This is a standerdised articulation test in Kannada, which assess the production of phonemes using two to three syllable words. This test assesses ten vowels and two dipthongs in word initial positions only (using 23 pictures). Rest of the consonats are tested in initial and medial positions (using 72 pictures) except /l/ and /r/ which are assessed in word medial positions (using 4 pictures) as words in Kannada do not begin with these consonants. The phonemes are tested based on the age of acquisiton between the age of two to 5.6 years. Thus each child named 99 pictures in total to test these sounds. If the participant failed to name the picture, examiner named the picture and asked the participant to repeat the same. Two repetitions by the examiner were thus given for each child if he failed to name the picture. When the child named the picture or repeated after the examiner, he was asked to repeat the same to check for consistency.

Analysis of speech sample collected

The samples thus obtained were initially transcribed by the first examiner, a native speaker of Kannada using International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) and extensions of IPA [20,21]. Twenty percent of the entire data was analyzed for inter-rater reliability by the second examiner who was also a native speaker of Kannada. This was further analyzed for the presence of substitutions, omissions, distortions and additions errors (SODA errors). An articulatory error was considered as substitution error if there was a "replacement of an incorrect sound for a target segment (correct sound)" [22] (e.g. /tattari/ for /kattari/ or /?attari/ for /kattari/). Substitution of an intended oral consonant by a nasal consonant was also considered under the broad category of substitution [23] (e.g. /mekku/ for bekku/).

An error was considered as omission when "segments that should have been included in standard pronunciations but were absent" [24] (e.g. /o:ti/ for /ko:ti/). Distortion error considered was similar to substitution error, but the substituted sound was "an unacceptable variation within the perceptual boundary of a target phoneme" [22]. If a phoneme was added to the target phoneme in the intended word then it was considered as an addition error (e.g: /nalldi/ for /nalli/). The mean percentage of SODA was obtained by calculating the ratio of "the number of errors in each type (SODA) to the total number of target words".

Results

The current study aimed at exploring the phonetic errors present in Kannada speaking preschool children with RCLP. The phonetic errors were further evaluated for mean percentage of substitution, omission, distortion and addition errors. Substitution errors were further analyzed based on place and manner of production in order to understand the articulatory proficiency of the participants. The first objective of the study was to investigate the mean percentage of phonetic errors and compare the same between both the groups. The mean percentage of phonetic errors noted in each group is tabulated in Table 3. It was noted that children in TDC group had a mean percentage of 1.76% whereas children in RCLP group had a mean percentage of 61.61%. Thus children with RCLP had more articulatory errors than their normal counterparts.

Table 3: Mean percentage of articulatory errors across groups.

		TD	2		RC	LP		
	T1	T2	Т3	T4	R1	R2	R3	R4
Total errors	1	5	0	1	65	62	61	56
Mean Percentage	1.76					61.	61	

The second objective of the study was to calculate the mean percentage of substitution, omission, distortion and addition errors (SODA analysis) and compare between the groups. The mean percentage of substitution, omission, distortion and addition errors for both the groups has been tabulated in Table 4. The results indicated that children in TDC group exhibited only substitution errors (1.76%) specifically in the medial position of the word list. However, children in RCLP group exhibited substitution (46.21%), omission (9.34%) and distortion (5.80%) errors, in both initial and medial positions equally. Amongst all the errors substitution errors were the most prominent in children with RCLP.

		Т	DC			RC	LP	N D		
	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Mean Percentage	R1	R2	R3	R4	Mean Percentage
Substitution	1	5	-	1	1.76	56	47	40	40	46.21
Omissions	-	-	-	-	-	3	10	21	3	9.34
Distortions	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	0	13	5.80
Additions	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4: Mean percentage of substitution, omission, distortion and addition errors across groups.

The third objective was to analyze the substitution errors noted in both the groups based on place and manner of production. This analysis would help identify any specific pattern in substitution errors which could be further termed as characteristic feature of children with RCLP. It was noted that children of both the groups did not exhibit any difficulty in producing vowels and diphthongs. Hence they were not explored further. However many substitution errors were exhibited by consonants. For the ease of understanding, consonants were grouped as high and low pressure consonants. Table 5 shows the common substitutions noted for the high pressure consonants viz., plosives, fricatives, and affricates among children in TDC and RCLP group. Table 6 shows the common substitutions noted for low pressure consonants viz., nasals, approximants, lateral approximants and trills for both groups.

			TDC			Common RCLP					Common		
			T1	T2	Т3	T4	substitutions	R1	R2	R3	R4	substitutions	
Plosives	Bilabial	р	-	-	-	-	-	m	-	?	m	?, m	
		b	-	-	-	-	-	m	m	m,?	m	?, m	
	Dental	t	-	t	-	-	t	h,?	h	?	h	?, h	
		d	-	d	-	-	d	h,?	n	n,?	n	?, h, n	
	Retroflex	t	-	-	-	-	-	?	?	?	t,h	?, t, h	
		d	-	-	-	-	-	m,n	n, j	n, j	n,ղ	ո, m, j, ղ	
	Velar	k	-	-	-	-	-	k [°] ,?	h,?	?	h,?	?, h, k [°]	
		g	-	-	-	-	-	g`,?	h	j	n	n, h, j, ʔ, gˁ	
Fricatives	Alveolar	S	-	-	-	-	-	h	h	?	h	?, h	
	Postalveolar	ſ	-	S	-	-	-	h	h	?	h	?, h	
Affricates		€Ĵ	-	-	-	-	-	٢ſ	ſ	-	?	?, ∫, t͡ʃ ˁ	
		d͡z	-	-	-	-	-	∫, ?	d͡ʒ [°] ,n	?	n	∫, ?, n, d͡ʒ ˁ	

 Table 5: Common substitutions noted for high pressure consonants across groups.

Table 5 shows that only one child among TDC group (T2) exhibited substitution errors. These errors were noted for dental plosives /t/ and /d/ which were substituted by retroflex plosive /t/ and /d/ respectively. However, change in the manner of their production was not observed. In contrast, in children of RCLP group, phonemes of different place of articulations substituted the target high pressure consonants. Among them, the plosive consonants were more often sustituted followed by affricates and fricatives. Within the plosives, velar consonants had the most number of substitutions (/g/ substituted by /n/, /h/, /j/, $/?/, /g^{s}/$ and /k/ substituted by $/?/, /h/, /k^{s}/$). This was followed by retroflex plosives (/d/ was sbstituted by /n/, /m/, /j/, / η / and /t/ by /?/, /t/, /h/), dental plosives (/d/ by /?/, /h/, /n/ and /t/ by /?/, /h/) and bilabial plosives (/b/ and /p/ by /?/, /m/). Affricates were also difficult to produce by the children with RCLP and were often substituted $(/\hat{d}_3/ \text{ by }/)/, /?/, /n/, /\hat{d}_3$ ^s/ and / \hat{tJ} / by /?/, /J/, / \hat{tJ} ^s/) followed by fricatives (/s/ and /J/ by /?/, /h/). With respect to place of articulation, it was noted the children in RCLP group substituted target consonants with consonants in either anterior or posterior place of articulation compared to the target place of articulation. With respect to manner it was noted that children with RCLP often replaced plosives with a glottal stop (/?/) or pharyngeal stops (/k^s/ and / /g^s/) or glottal fricative (/h/), or their nasal counterparts (/n/, /m/ /n/).

Affricates on the other hand were replaced by either glottal stops (/?/), glottal fricative (/h/), nasals (/n/) or pharyngeal affricates ($(dg^{s}/ and tf)^{s}$). Analysis of fricatives revealed that they were substituted by glottal stops (/?/) or glottal fricatives (/h/). It was also noted that the voiced consonants were more often substituted than the un-voiced high pressure consonants.

			TDC					RCL	Р	
			T1	T2	Т3	T4	R1	R2	R3	R4
Nasals	Labial	m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Dental	n	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Retroflex	η	n	n	-	-	n	n	-	n
Approximants	Labiodental	v	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-
	Palatal	j	-	-	-	-	ſ	-	v	-
Lateral approximant	Dental	1	-	-	-	-	n	n	n	

Table 6: Common substitutions noted for low-pressure consonants across groups.

	Retroflex	l	-	1	-	-	n	n	-	n
Trill	Dental	r	-	-	-	d	n	j,n	n	n

Table 6 reveals that three children in TDC group had occassional difficulty in producing three low pressure consonants. Retroflex nasal /n/ by dental nasal /n/ by both T1 and T2, whereas retroflex lateral approximant /l/ by dental lateral approximant /l/ by only T2. In both these condition place of articulation was compromised but manner was retained. T4 substituted dental trill /r/ with retroflex plosive /d/ indicating a compromise in both place as well as manner of articulation.

On the contrary children in RCLP group substituted the target low pressure consonants with various other consonants. Among them, dental trill had most substitutions (/r/ by /n/ and /j/) followed by lateral approximants (/l/ and /[/ by /n/), approximants (/j/ by /ʃ/ and /v/; /v/ by /?/) and nasals (/n/ by /n/). With respect to place of articulation, it was noted that most of the target low pressure consonants were often replaced by dental /n/ and occassionally by palatal /j/, labiodental /v/ and glottal /?/. With respect to manner, nasal /n/ predominately substituted all the low pressure consonants. It was noted that children in RCLP group did not have any difficulty producing the labial and dental nasal consonants /m/ and /n/ respectively.

Discussion

The present study aimed at identifying and exploring the phonetic errors present in the speech of children with RCLP and comparing the same with TDC. SODA analysis and error analysis based on place and manner of articulation were done to understand the articulatory proficiency of children with RCLP. The results indicated several interesting points. The first point highlighted that the mean percentage of phonetic errors seen in children with RCLP was higher than that of TDC. This has also been reported by earlier studies [14,15,24-26]. Children in RCLP group had been operated between the age of one to one and a half i.e., after the initiation of verbal communication. They also had not availed the service of speech therapy post surgery and received less speech and language stimulation at home. These factors could have resulted in the increase in the mean percentage of phonetic errors in children with RCLP.

The second objective of the present study was to analyze the articulatory errors. These errors were broadly classified into substitution, distortions, omission and addition errors (SODA errors). Children in the TDC group exhibited only substitution errors whereas children in RCLP group exhibited substitution errors most frequently followed by omission and distortion errors. This finding is, however, contradicting the findings reported by various authors [2,14,27]. Presence of high substitution and omission errors than distortion errors indicate that the children with RCLP have lower articulatory proficiency [3,28]. The discrepancies between the previous studies and current study could also be attributed to difference in the number of children considered for the study, the age range, methodological differences, language differences or a combination of these factors. It can also be speculated that number of consonants used in Kannada langugae and their place within the words (initial or medial positions of the word only) could also be a reason for this observation.

The substitution error analysis reveals few finer details of the speech production abilities of children with RCLP. Firstly phonemes produced at all possible place of articulation in Kannada language were effected. Secondly all phonemes were produced either with anterior place of articulation (labials or dentals or palatals) or posterior place of articulation (glottals or pharyngeals). Earlier studies have also reported that children with repaired cleft often prefer to produce phonemes in the extremities of the vocal tract such as labial / velar / glottal place of articulation [23,29,30]. This could be due to the persistance of velopharyngeal dysfunction (VPD) post surgery [31]. VPD is known to be a cause of compensatory articulation [32]. As the children in the current study were operated after they had gained some form of verbal communication, and did not receive speech therapy following surgery, compensatory articulation persisted in them resulting in the above observation. Thirdly with respect to manner of articulation nasal consonants were not affected. However, stops, affricates, fricatives, trill, lateral approximant, and approximants were found to be affected in decreasing order.

Stops were often alternatively substituted by fricatives or nasals and occassionally as approximant. Stops are phonemes which require sudden release of high intraoral pressure built behind an tight articulatory contact. Children in the current study attempted to imitate this manner of production but the place of articulatory contact was shifted to glottal due to the persistance of compensatory articulation. Thus when there was a release of the contact the air released produces a gottal fricative /h/. Stops were also produced as nasals by the children in the current study. Substitution of nasal for an oral stops by children with RCLP has also been reported by prior studies [33]. This could be due to the persistance of VPD leading to the nasalization of phonemes produced. Stops were also replaced by approximant in few occassions. It is speculated that this could be due to the influence of co-articulation.

Affricates were substituted either by fricatives or stops or nasals. Production of affricates involve sequential complex articulation where a stop is followed by a fricative [34]. Most of the affricates produced by the children with RCLP group were produced as fricatives i.e., eliminating the stop feature and mainitaining only the frication feature. But in few instances the stop feature was retained and frication feature was eliminated. However it is important to note that the place of frication

Global Journal of Otolaryngology

and stopping were both at the different positions in the vocal tract. This observation indicates that children with RCLP have not mastered the complex consonant articulation required for production of affricates. The substitution of affricate by a stop produced at the level of glottis or by an affricate produced at the pharyngeal level as noted in the present study, could be due to the generalized laryngeal or pharyngeal constrictions, a lingering compensatory articulation, characteristic of children with RCLP [3,23,29,30].

Fricative consonants were often substituted by glottal fricatives and occassionally as glottal stops by children of RCLP group. Fricatives are high pressure consonants requiring high pressure build up behind aticulatory contact within oral cavity followed by slow release of the air pressure between a small aperture creating turbulance. As children with RCLP in the current study might have had persistant VPD, the place of cantact to build this pressure shifted to the glottis, resulting in the production of /h/. However, as these children might have not mastered the slow release of the articulators to produce turbulance, fricatives were sometimes substituted by glottal stops.

Lateral approximants and trill were substituted often by nasals and occassionally trill was substituted by approximant. Persistant use of nasals for lateral approximants and trill in the current study again points to the persistance of VPD. Substitution of a approximant for a trill could be attributed to unsuppressed phonological process [35]. Approximant consonants were one of the least affected class of consonants. They were substituted occassionally by fricative, stops (glottal) or by another approximant. This could be attributed to the developing phonemic use and the types of phonological processes employed which inturn get influenced by individual structural defects [36]. It is important to highlight in the study that though the nasals were retained as nasals the retroflex $/\eta$ was substituted by dental /n/. Children with RCLP have been reported to continue using denatal-nasal phonemes for retroflex phonemes post surgery as well [3].

Conclusion

In conclusion, the data from the study revealed that Kannada speaking children with RCLP have more phonetic errors than their age matched counterparts. Findings also reveal that children with RCLP have more substitution errors followed by omissions and distortion errors. Further, substitution error analysis revealed that children with RCLP had difficulty producing phonemes in all place of articulation. However with respect to manner of articulation, nasals were found to be unaffected. The production of stops, affricates, fricatives, trill, lateral approximant, and approximants were found to be affected in decreasing order. The results of the current study imply that language does have an influence on the type of errors noted in children with RCLP. Thus initiation of early speech and language intervention for children with RCLP is important.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Dr. S. R Savithri, Director, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore, for supporting the research in various ways. Also, we would like to thank the children who actively participated in the study and their parents for providing consent. Warmest gratitude extended to all the U-SOFA team members for motivation and valuable discussions.

References

- 1. Morris H, Ozanne A, Phonetic (2003) phonological, and language skills of children with a cleft palate. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 40(5): 460-70.
- Spriestersbach D, Darley F, Rouse V (1956) Articulation of a group of children with cleft lips and palates. J Speech Hear Disord 21(4): 436-445.
- Bzoch KR (1965) Articulation proficiency and error patterns of preschool cleft palate and normal children. Cleft Palate J 2(4): 340-349.
- Van Demark DR, Van Demark AA (1967) Misarticulations of cleft palate children achieving velopharyngeal closure and children with functional speech problems. Cleft Palate J 4 (1):31-37.
- 5. Mossey P, Little J (2009) Addressing the challenges of cleft lip and palate research in India. Indian J Plast Surg 42(3): 9-18.
- Campbell GL, Gareth K (2013) Compendium of the World's Languages. (3rd edn) Routledge, New York, USa. Pp. 1984.
- 7. Guntman A, Avanzati B (2013) The Language Gulper: An insatiable appetite for ancient and modern tongues.
- Spencer H, Perston W (1950) Kanarese grammar, with graduated exercises. Revised. Wesley Press, Mysore pp. 1950.
- 9. Shyamala KC, Basanthi D (2003) Developmental milestones of language acquisition in Indian languages: Kannada and Hindi Unpublished ICSSR project.
- Anjana S, Sreedevi N (2008) Phonetic charecteristics of babbling in Kannada. Student Res AIISH Mysore (Articles based Diss done AIISH). VI: 2007-08 (Part B):18-34.
- Prathima S, Sreedevi N (2009) Articulatory Acquisition in Kannada Speaking Urban Children: 3 - 4 Years. Student Res AIISH Mysore (Articles based Diss done AIISH). VII: 2008-09 (Part B):172-187.
- Shishira SB (2013) Early phonetic repetoir in typically developing Kannada speaking children: 12-18 months. Unpublished desertation, University of Mysore.
- Sushma S (2013) Early phonetic repetoir in typically developing Kannada speaking children: 18-24 months. Unpublished desertation, University of Mysore.
- 14. Mc Williams BJ (1958) Articulation problems of a group of cleft palate adults. J Speech Hear Res. 1(1): 68-74.
- Moll KL (1968) Cleft palate and communication. In: Spriestersbach DC, Sherman D, editors. Cleft palate and communication. New York and London: Academic Press: p. 291.
- 16. Upadhyaya U (2000) Kannada Phonetic Reader. Mysore: Central Institute of Languages: Publications: p. 68.
- Singhi P, Kumar M, Malhi P, Kumar R (2007) Utility of the WHO Ten Questions Screen for Disability Detection in a Rural Community: the North Indian Experience. J Trop Pediatr 53(6): 383-387.
- Venkateshan S, Basavraj V (2009) Ethical guidelines for biobehavioural research involving human subjects. Mysore: All India Institute of Speech and Hearing: p. 23.

- 19. Deepa A, Savithri S (2010) Re-Standardization of Kannada Articulation Test. Student Res AIISH. VIII (Part B): 53-65.
- 20. International Phonetic Association. The International Phonetic Alphabet 2005.
- International Clinical Phonetics and Linguistics Association. extIPA symbols for disordered speech. Int Phonetic Alph IPA Chart. 2008.
- 22. Bernnthal JE, Bankson NW (1981) Articulation Disorders. illustrate. Prentice Hall: p. 329.
- 23. D antonio LL, Scherer NJ (2008) Communication disorders associated with cleft palate. In: Losee J, Kirschner R, editors. Comprehensive cleft care. Illustrate. New York: Mc Graw Hill Professional: p. 1166.
- 24. Perkins WH (1977) Speech Pathology: An Applied Behavioural Science. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby: p. 459.
- 25. Morris HL (1962) Communication Skills of Children with Cleft Lips and Palates. J Speech Lang Hear Res. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association 5(1): 79.
- 26. Takagi Y, McGlone RE, Millard RT (1965) A survey of the speech disorders of individuals with clefts. Cleft Palate J 2(1):28-31.
- Counihan DT (1956) A clinical study of the speech efficiency and structural adequacy of unoperated adolescent and adult cleft palate persons. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Northwestern University.
- 28. Snow K, Milisen R (1954) The influence of oral versus pictorial



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License DOI: 10.19080/GJ0.2017.09.555765 presentation upon articulation testing results. J Speech Hear Disord. Monograph (Supplement):29-36.

- Cobb LH, Lierle D (1936) An analysis of speech difficulties of 56 cleft palate and harelip cases. Arch Speech. I: 217-230.
- Morley ME (1954) Cleft palate and speech. 3rd ed. Michigan: E. & S. Livingstone: p. 173.
- Cerom JL, Macedo C de C, Feniman MR (2013) Can Peripheral Hearing Justify the Speech Disorders in Children with Operated Cleft Palate? Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol 18(1): 27-35.
- 32. Genaro KF, Fukushiro AP (2007) Suguimoto MLFCP. Avaliação dos distúrbios da fala. In: Trindade IEK, Silva Filho OG, eds. Fissuras labiopalatinas: uma abordagem interdisciplinar. São Paulo, Brasil: Santos; 109-122.
- 33. Chapman K (2009) Speech and language of children with cleft palate: Interactions and influences. In: Moller K, Glaze L, editors. Cleft lip and palate: Interdisciplinary issues and treatment. 2nd edn. Pro-Ed., Austin, Texas, USA, pp. 671.
- 34. Mannell R (2009) Complex consonant articulations. Macquarie.
- Chapman KL (1993) Phonological Processes in Chidren with Cleft Palate. Cleft Palate Craniofacial J 30(1): 64-72.
- 36. Lynch JI, Fox DR, Brookshire BL (1983) Phonological proficiency of two cleft palate toddlers with school-age follow-up. J Speech Hear Disord 48(3): 274-285.

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats (Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- · Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php