

Analysis of the Relative Contributions of Climatic Elements and Environmental Variables to Flood Disaster in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria



Evans UF¹, Dominic KO², Evans GU³ and Utting C⁴

¹Department of Science, Maritime Academy of Nigeria, Africa

²Department of Nautical Science, Maritime Academy of Nigeria, Africa

³Department of Educational Foundations, University of Calabar, Africa

⁴Department of Maritime Transport, Maritime Academy of Nigeria, Africa

Submission: October 25, 2017; **Published:** November 10, 2017

***Corresponding author:** Udoh Evans, Department of Science, Maritime Academy of Nigeria, Africa, Tel: 7038236344; Email: egeosystems2@gmail.com

Abstract

The study aimed at comparing the relative contributions of climatic elements and environmental variables to flooding in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State. In achieving the aim of this study, environmental inventory was conducted to obtain data on environmental factors (number of flood channels used for dumping of refuse, number of roads without drainage channel, number of flood channels blocked by houses and other civil constructions, number of urban pavement constructed within the period and number of natural flood channels identified) within identified flood sites in Uyo from 2005-2016. In addition, the climatic elements (temperature and rainfall) data were obtained from NiMET office in Uyo for period under consideration. The flood water discharge quantity per year, which represented the volume of flood water, was computed. Data were analysed using multiple regression method.

Results show that, rainfall and roads without good drainage channel exact significant influence on the flooding in the study area, with a significant level of 0.000 and 0.004 respectively. However, other variables (temperature, blocked flood channels by refuse and blocked flood channels by buildings) were not statistically significant in the prediction of flooding water quantity. The study also reveals that, the highest contribution to flooding is rainfall, closely followed by the number of natural floor channels identified in the study area. It was recommended that, all roads constructed in the study area or other areas with similar topography (flat land) and geology (coastal plain sands) should be provided with good drainage channel to enable rain water drain to the nearest stream and river as this could service as a means of mitigating urban flooding. In addition, natural flood channel should be maintained as well as prohibition of the flood channels as dump sites for refuse.

Keywords : Flood; Rainfall; Climate; Environment; Inundation and Drainage

Abbreviations : FCDR: Flood Channels Used for Dumping of Refuse; RWDC: Roads without Drainage Channel; UPCD: Urban Pavement Constructed within the Period; NFCA: Natural Flood Channels Identified

Introduction

Flood is a water induced disaster that leads to temporary overflow of dry land with water, which can cause serious damage to lives (plants, animals, and man), property and infrastructures. During flood, water overflows into an environment that is naturally supposed to be dry thereby causing inundation and damage to the environment. Floods can also occur when the amount of water flowing from a catchment exceeds the capacity of its drains, creeks, estuaries and rivers. Oceans are the primary source for atmospheric moisture that eventually falls as precipitation to cause flood. Therefore, the atmosphere acts as a temporary reservoir and delivery system for moisture which evaporates from expose surfaces. Evaporated moisture

is transported to the continents in the form of water vapour through the large-scale motions and the general circulation of the atmosphere [1].

It has been observed that, flooding process begins with climatic variables, but the environmental factors determine its severity [2,3]. They identified some climatic events capable of causing flood to include rainfall, temperature, intense convective thunderstorms, tropical storms and hurricanes, cyclones and frontal passages, and rapid snowmelt. According to, floods can be caused either by an excess of rainfall leading to greater surface runoff or by storm surges raising the sea level [4]. However, when heavy rainfall occurs repeatedly over a wide area, then

river or mainstream flooding becomes more probable [2]. In this situation, the main rivers of a region swell and inundate large areas.

In many natural systems, floods play an important role in maintaining key ecosystem functions and biodiversity. For instance, floods link Rivers with the land surrounding it, recharge groundwater systems, fill wetlands, increase the connectivity between aquatic habitats, and move both sediment and nutrients around the landscape, and into the marine environment. For many species, floods trigger breeding processes, migration, and dispersal [5]. In addition, flood in its natural state can provide farm lands with better soil consistencies and keeps the land fertile thereby producing good harvests. Thus, flood events can result in long-term benefits to agricultural production, hence, food sufficiency by recharging water resource storages, especially in drier, inland areas, and by rejuvenating soil fertility by silt deposition [6-9]. Therefore, rather than out right flood prevention, it is important to allow some control flow of water from Rivers into farmlands.

Noted that, torrential rains made Rivers to overflow their banks and caused mud houses to collapse and also washed away livestock [10]. In some places and cases, flooding has damaged bridges and caused overflow of dams, submergence of buildings, displacement of people from their homes, loss of people's valuables and loss of life. The economy of a place can also be severely affected as most businesses may lose stock due to flooding.

In addressing the issue of flooding and its associated losses, efforts been made by some researchers showed that, the causes of flooding have been polarized into environmental, and climatic [11-14]. But the need to compare the contributions of climatic elements and environmental variables to flooding in order to ascertain their relative contributions to urban flooding has largely been ignored in relevant literature especially when it comes to the study area. In the light of the irreparable damage arising from flooding, there is a dire need to direct urgent attention towards establishing the relative contributions of climatic elements and environmental factors as flood predictor variables in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State. This will become the driving force towards ameliorating the risk of flooding in the area.

Classification of Flood

Some researchers on flooding have classified floods into three main forms - coastal flooding, river flooding and urban flooding [15]. Coastal flooding occurs in the low-lying belt of mangrove and fresh water swamps along the coast, River flooding occurs in the flood plains of the larger rivers, while short-lived flash floods also called urban floods are associated with Rivers in the inland areas and sudden heavy rains that change into a destructive torrent within a short period. Periodic floods can occur in Rivers, forming a surrounding region known as flood plain. According [16], flood resulting from rivers has relatively high flow, which

overtakes the natural channels provided for run-off as well as a high stream which overtops its natural or artificial banks. Urban flooding on the other hand occurs in towns, on flat or low-lying terrain especially where little or no provision made for surface drainage or where existing drainage has been blocked with municipal waste, refuses and eroded soil sediments. Identified rainfall to be the utmost factor that creates urban flooding; however, there are other contributing factors such as catchment size and shape, climate change and land use [4]. Flood is most likely to occur in most wetland/coastal areas of the world. This is because of the general rise in sea level globally due to global warming as well as the saturated nature of the wetlands in the Riverine areas. The urban flooding type is what is experienced in Uyo.

However, urban areas especially in developing countries experience various types of flood disaster in most of the rainy seasons. Although floods can happen at any time of the year, but Uyo Local Government Area has typical seasonal patterns of flooding, which is associated with the rainy season. The flooding in Uyo can be attributed to urbanization, which resulted to serious modification of the natural environment in an attempt for man to achieve certain level of comfort. The destructive effects of flood in urban areas have been discussed extensively by researcher [16-19]. When rain falls, some of the rain water is captured by soil and vegetation, and the remainder enters waterways and flow into streams, creeks, lakes, and rivers. River characteristics such as size and shape, the vegetation in and around the river, and the presence of structures in and adjacent to the natural waterway may increase the volume of water in the waterway which may flood the environs.

Study Area

Geographically, the study area is located between Latitudes 4°32'N and 5° 33'N and Longitudes 7° 25'E and 8°25'E. Uyo is a table land situated in the tropical rainforest, and drained by streams and Rivers. It is one of the rapid growing state capitals in Nigeria, thereby attracting much improvement on available land. The level of urbanization in Uyo has greatly modified the natural environments in other to satisfy the needs of the seemingly increasing population. Urbanization is not without its attendant challenges especially in the areas of waste disposal and sustainable development [20-22]. Uyo is situated on a flood plain and is extremely vulnerable to flooding. It is observed that flooding in Uyo seems to happen every year. For instance, Uyo witnessed flood in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017. However, the most severe flood struck in Uyo was that of 17th October 2013, where 100s of homes in the city were inundated. Therefore, flooding is one of the major environmental challenges pervasive in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, which some of the hot spots are Atan Offot, Atiku Abubakar, Abak Road, Aka Itiam, Urua Ekpa, Aka/Etinan (By C- Division) and the State Secretariat Complex area.

Methodology

The approach to this study was the design of flood inventory data sheet, which was prepared by the researchers, and used to elicit information on the environmental condition of the study area. The items enumerated on the data sheet were: number of flood channels used for dumping of refuse (FCDR); number of roads without drainage channel (RWDC); number of flood channels blocked by houses and other civil constructions (FCBH); number of urban pavement constructed within the

period (UPCD) and number of natural flood channels identified (NFCA). The study was complemented by ten years climatic data temperature and rainfall (Table 1), obtained from Nigerian Meteorological (NIMET) Agency Synoptic station in Uyo. While the Khosla's formula was used to estimate flood discharge quantity (q) in the study area given as

$$q = p - kT_m$$

Where *p* is a constant given by 0.4813, *T_m* is the mean yearly temperature in °C (Table 1).

Table 1: Annual means Climatic Elements of Flooding.

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Rainfall (mm)	2256.8	2229.5	2668.7	2281.9	2332.8	1921.3	2033.8	2868.9	3331.3	2317.1	1840.9	2220.1
Temperature (°C)	27.9	26.4	25.9	27.3	27.7	27.1	26.8	28.1	27.6	27.4	28.1	28.2

NIMET, Uyo 2107.

Results and Discussion

The result of the yearly flood discharge and climatic elements in the study area are contained in Table 2. The result shows that the highest quantity of rainwater was in 2013, while the least was recorded in 2015 (Table 2).

The Relationship Between Climatic Elements, Environmental Factors and Flooding Discharge in Uyo

In order to establish the relationship between Climatic elements, environmental factors and flood discharge, the multiple regression analysis was carried out using the SPSS

software. The result is shows that rainfall and roads without good drainage channel exact significant influence on the flooding in the study area, with a significant level of 0.000 and 0.004 respectively. The result also suggest that, other variables (temperature, blocked flood channels by refuse and blocked flood channels by buildings) are not statistically significant in the prediction of flooding in Uyo. A strong correlation (1.00) of flooding and rainfall was obtained, while the correlation of flooding with temperature gave a negative value of -0.304. All the environmental factors produced weak correlation (0.012-0.200) with flooding in the study area (Table 3).

Table 2: Mean yearly Flood Discharge in Uyo (2005-2016).

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Rainfall (mm)	2256.8	2229.5	2668.7	2281.9	2332.8	1921.3	2033.8	2868.9	3331.3	2317.1	1840.9	2220.1
Tem (°C)	27.9	26.4	25.9	27.3	27.7	27.1	26.8	28.1	26.5	27.4	28.1	28.2
Q (mm ³)	2243.2	2216.8	2656.2	2268.8	2319.5	1920.8	2020.9	2855.4	3318.5	2303.9	1827.4	2206.5

Table 3: Result of multiple regression showing correlations and significant level for the prediction of flooding.

	Variables	FQuaty	FCDR	RWDC	FCBH	UPCD	NFCA	Rainfall	Temp
Pearson Correlation	FQuaty	1	0.125	0.2	0.091	0.012	-0.125	1	-0.304
	FCDR	0.125	1	-0.657	0.78	0.599	0.599	0.125	-0.024
	RWDC	0.2	-0.657	1	-0.843	-0.779	-0.779	0.2	-0.563
	FCBH	-0.563	0.78	-0.843	1	0.768	-0.926	0.091	0.347
	UPCD	0.012	0.599	-0.779	0.768	1	-0.788	0.01	0.283
	NFCA	-0.125	-0.917	0.808	-0.926	-0.788	1	-0.126	-0.242
	Rainfall	1	0.125	0.2	0.091	0.01	-0.126	1	1
	Temp	-0.304	-0.024	-0.563	0.347	0.283	-0.242	-0.302	1

Sig. (1-tailed)	FQuaty	.	0.35	0.004	0.39	0.485	0.349	0	0.168
	FCDR	0.35	.	0.01	0.001	0.02	0	0.35	0.471
	RWDC	0.004	0.01	.	0	0.001	0.001	0.267	0.028
	FCBH	0.39	0.001	0	.	0.002	0	0.389	0.135
	UPCD	0.485	0.02	0.001	0.002	.	0.001	0.488	0.186
	NFCA	0.17	0	0.001	0	0.001	.	0.348	0.224
	Rainfall	0	0.35	0.267	0.389	0.488	0.348	.	0.17
	Temp	0.168	0.471	0.028	0.135	0.186	0.224	0.17	.

Note: Number of flood channels used for dumping of refuse (FCDR); Number of roads without drainage channel (RWDC); Number of flood channels blocked by houses and other civil constructions (FCBH); Number of urban pavement constructed within the period (UPCD); Number of natural flood channels identified (NFCA)

This result gives the true condition of flooding in the study area. It implies that flooding in the study area is significantly influenced by rainfall (climatic element). However, the environmental conditions can only enhance the severity of the damage due to flooding. The is in agreement with [7]. They observed that flood is basically caused by heavy down pour,

while the environmental conditions determined the extent to the discharge water is retained, as well as the damaged caused by flood [7]. In addition explained that, if the natural flood channels are maintain, the hazard caused by flooding could be kept at minimal since the volume of flood water at a time and in a given location (inter land) will be low [23].

Strengths of Causation by Climatic Element and Environmental Factors to Flooding in Uyo

Table 4: The weighted combination of flood predictor variables.

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	1.000 ^a	1	1	3.46551	1	22743.397	7	4	0

a. Predictors: (Constant), Temp, FCDR, Rainfall, UPCD, FCBH, RWDC, NFCA.

Table 4 is the combined weighted strength of the predictor variables used for the study. The values of R square and adjusted R indicate that, the combined weight of the predictor variables can explain 100% of the variance in flooding in Uyo. However, Table 5 shows the estimate of flood causation by each variable. The Beta (weight) expresses the estimate at which the predictor variables contribute to flooding in the study area [9-13]. The highest contribution is the rainfall, followed by number of

natural floor channels identified in the study area. Number of roads without drainage channel showed the least contribution (0.003) to flooding. Table 6 is the matrix display of the relative contributions of climatic and environmental variables to flooding in the study area. It is obvious that, climatic elements are the major contributors to flooding in the study area. This is aided by the roads without good drainage channels and closely followed by flood channels used for dumping of refuse (Table 4).

Table 5: Estimates of causation of flooding by climatic and environmental variables.

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Correlations		
	B Std. Error	Std. Error	Beta (Weight)			Zero-order	Partial	Part
(Constant)	-143.934	123.055		-1.17	0.307			
FCDR	9.612	5.957	0.019	1.613	0.182	0.125	0.628	0.004
RWDC	1.67	4.411	0.023	0.379	0.724	0.2	0.186	0.001
FCBH	2.009	2.674	0.006	0.751	0.494	0.091	0.352	0.002
UPCD	11.019	4.822	0.014	2.285	0.084	0.012	0.752	0.006
NFCA	7.105	3.738	0.033	1.901	0.13	-0.125	0.689	0.005
Rainfall	0.999	0.003	1	324.84	0	1	1	0.814
Temp	1.182	2.76	0.002	0.428	0.691	-0.304	0.209	0.001

a. Dependent Variable: FQuaty

Table 6: Matrix display of the relative contributions of climatic and environmental variables to flooding in the study area.

Variables		FQuaty	FCDR	RWDC	FCBH	UPCD	NFCA	Rainfall	Temp
Pearson Correlation	FQuaty	1	0.125	0.2	0.091	0.012	-0.125	1	-0.304
	FCDR	0.125	1	-0.657	0.78	0.599	-0.917	0.125	-0.024
	RWDC	0.2	-0.657	1	-0.843	-0.779	0.808	0.2	-0.563
	FCBH	0.091	0.78	-0.843	1	0.768	-0.926	0.091	0.347
	UPCD	0.012	0.599	-0.779	0.768	1	-0.788	0.01	0.283
	NFCA	-0.125	-0.917	0.808	-0.926	-0.788	1	-0.126	-0.242
	Rainfall	1	0.125	0.2	0.091	0.01	-0.126	1	-0.302
	Temp	-0.304	-0.024	-0.563	0.347	0.283	-0.242	-0.302	1

Conclusion

The contributions of climatic elements (temperature and rainfall) and environmental factor to flooding in Uyo have been investigated using 12 years data collected from NIMET, Uyo and a structured inventory data sheet was to collect information on the environmental factors in the study area. The contributions to flooding in Uyo by environmental factors were noticed to be insignificant except for roads without drainage channel (RWDC). For instance the following level of significant was obtained for the five environmental factors used for the study, number of flood channels used for dumping of refuse (FCDR) 0.350, number of roads without drainage channel (RWDC) 0.004, number of flood channels blocked by houses and other civil constructions (FCBH) 0.390, number of urban pavement constructed within the period (UPCD) 0.485 and number of natural flood channels identified (NFCA) 0.349. However, the contribution to flooding in Uyo by temperature was seen to be insignificant 0.168.

The estimated strength by which the individual variable contributes to flooding according to the research is FCDR 0.125, RWDC 0.200, FCBH 0.091, UPCD 0.012, NFCA -0.125, Rainfall 1.000 and Temp -0.304. These by implication, means that, rainfall is the major cause of flooding in Uyo, which may not be the case in coastal communities where there is possibility of major flooding informed by sea level rise. In addition, roads without drainage channel (RWDC) were observed to have highest estimated strength among the identified environmental factors. Therefore, all roads constructed in the study area or other areas of similar topography (flat land) should be provided with good drainage channel to enable rain water drain to the nearest stream as this could service a means of mitigating urban flooding. In addition, natural flood channel should be maintained as well as prohibition of the flood channels as dump sites for refuse.

References

1. Tingsanchali T (2012) Urban flood disaster management. *Procedia Engineering* 32: 25-37.
2. Geng Q, Sugi M (2003) Possible change in extratropical cyclone activity due to enhanced greenhouse gases and sulphate aerosols study with a high resolution AGCM. *Journal of Climatology* 16: 2262-2274.
3. Matsueda M, Mizuta R, Kusunoki S (2009) Future change in winter time atmospheric blocking simulated using a 20 km mesh atmospheric global circulation model. *Journal Geophysics Research* 114 (D12).
4. Umoh AA, Akpan AO, Jacob BB (2013) Rainfall and relative humidity occurrence patterns in Uyo metropolis, Akwa Ibom State, South- south Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Engineering* 3(8): 27-31.
5. Kingsford RT (2000) Ecological impacts of dams water diversion and river management on floodplain wetlands in Australia. *Austral Ecology* 25(2): 109-127.
6. Li CH, Li N, Wu LC, Hu AJ (2013) A relative vulnerability estimation of flood disaster using data envelopment analysis in the Dongting Lake Region of Hunan. *Natural Hazards Earth System Sciences* 13: 1723-1734.
7. Augustine IE, Akinlolu AT (2015) Flood disaster: an empirical survey of causative Factor and preventive measures in Kaduna, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution Research* 3(3): 53-66.
8. Olawuni OP, Popoola AS, Bolukale AT, Eluyeke KP, Adegoke JO (2015) An assessment of the factors responsible for flooding in Ibadan Metropolis Nigeria. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science* 5 (21): 1-7.
9. Folorunsho R, L Awosika (2001) Flood Mitigation in Lagos Nigeria through wise management of solid waste A case study of Ikoyi and Victoria Islands Nigerian. A paper presented at the UNESCO CSI Workshop held at Meputo 19-23.
10. Adebayo JA, Rabee R (2011) Lagos (Nigeria) Flooding and Influence of Urban Planning. *Journal of Urban Design and Planning* 164(3): 175-187.
11. Okoro L, Basil MO (2012) A survey of environmental issues in the flooding of Awka Urban. *Journal of Environmental Analysis* 3(1): 15-24.
12. Butchart N, Scaife AA (2001) Removal of chlorofluorocarbons through increased mass Exchange between the stratosphere and troposphere in a changing climate. *Nature* 410(6830): 799-801.
13. Ezenwaji EE, Okoye AC Awopeju AK (2013) The relative contributions of climatic elements and environmental factors to flooding in Awka Urban Area. *African Journal Environmental Science and Technology* 7(8): 808-814.
14. Etuonovbe A K (2011) The Devastating Effect of Flooding in Nigeria. *FIG Working Week*.
15. Yahaya S, Ahmad N, Abdalla RF (2010) Multicriteria Analysis for Flood Vulnerable Areas in Hadejia Jama are River Basin Nigeria. *European Journal of Scientific Research* 42(1): 71-83.
16. Ating EE (2003) Environmental and ecological problems of Nigeria. *Journal of Environment and Ecology* 1: 1-30.

17. Offiong RA, Eni DA (2007) Urban Floods An Appraisal of the Effect of Flooding on Urban Infrastructure in Calabar Metropolis Cross River State Nigeria. In Mamman AB (Eds.) Urbanization, Resource Exploitation and Environmental Stability in Nigeria. Joyce Publishers Kaduna, pp. 75-91.
18. Geoffrey L (2001) Time series analysis of flood events in Lagos Nigeria. Journal of Hydrology 8(2): 20-32.
19. Muoghalu LN, Okonkwo AO (1998) Effects of Urban Flooding in Awka Capital of Anambra State, Nigeria. Environmental Review 2: 22-34.
20. Durotoye B (1999) Human Occupation of Hazard Areas in Nigeria in Oshuntokun Environmental Problems of Nigeria. Lagos Friedrich Ebert Foundation Africa.
21. Edward A R (1997) The Story of Ogunpa. The Guardian p. 1-5.
22. Bunn SE, Arthington AH (2002) Basic principles and consequences of altered Hydrological regimes for aquatic biodiversity. Environmental Management 30(4): 492-507.
23. Baiye E (1988) Human in the throes of Floods. The Guardian p.1-9.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/IJESNR.2017.06.555685](https://doi.org/10.19080/IJESNR.2017.06.555685)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>