

# Endometrial Cancer Treatment: New Insights into the Role of Erbb Receptors

**Georgios Androutsopoulos\* and Georgios Decavalas**

*Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Patras, Greece*

**Submission:** February 10, 2016; **Published:** February 17, 2016

**\*Corresponding author:** Georgios Androutsopoulos, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Patras, Medical School, Rion 26504, Greece, Tel: +306974088092; E-mail: androutsopoulos@upatras.gr, androutsopoulosgeorgios@hotmail.com

## Editorial

The epidermal growth factor system (EGF system) has considerable role in cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. Moreover, it is present in various human organs during embryogenesis and postnatal development [1,2]. However, the dysregulation of the EGF system signaling network involved in the pathogenesis of various diseases [1,3-16].

Especially in cancer, the EGF system has crucial role with a lot of mechanisms (ligand overproduction, receptor overproduction, constitutive receptor activation) [3,17-19]. In this light, ErbB receptors (especially EGFR and ErbB-2) considered as targets for cancer treatment for more than 30 years [20]. Nevertheless, the significance of ErbB receptors in endometrial cancer (EC) treatment, has not determined yet [4-16].

To begin with, the anti-EGFR Monoclonal Antibodies (MoAbs) (cetuximab, panitumumab) bind selectively the extracellular domain of EGFR on tumor cell surface. Subsequently they prevent ligand binding and ligand dependent receptor activation [20-22]. Furthermore, cetuximab and panitumumab prevent receptor-ligand internalization [21]. The anti-EGFR MoAbs represent an attractive treatment option, especially in patients with advanced, recurrent or metastatic EC and EGFR overexpression [4-16,23].

A phase II study (NCT00392769) evaluated the efficacy of cetuximab in unselected patients with advanced or recurrent EC. However, this study failed to demonstrate significant activity of cetuximab [24,25]. Moreover, the partial response rate in the study population was only 5% [25].

Likewise, the anti-ErbB-2 MoAbs (trastuzumab, pertuzumab) bind selectively the extracellular domain of ErbB-2 on tumor cell surface. However, trastuzumab prevents ligand independent receptor activation with an undetermined mechanism of action. On the other hand, pertuzumab prevents receptor homodimerization and heterodimerization [20-22]. The anti-ErbB MoAbs represent an interesting treatment option

in patients with advanced, recurrent or metastatic EC and ErbB-2 overexpression [4,6,10-12,23].

The clinical role of trastuzumab has been reported in several individual cases with advanced, recurrent or metastatic EC and ErbB-2 overexpression. In these cases trastuzumab used either as single agent or in combination with chemotherapy, demonstrating significant activity [23,26-28].

A phase II study of Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG-181B) evaluated the efficacy of trastuzumab as single agent in unselected patients with advanced or recurrent EC and ErbB-2 overexpression. Nevertheless, the study failed to demonstrate significant activity of trastuzumab [29]. Perhaps, the study design was responsible for the final results [28]. Additionally, the partial response rate in the study population was slightly more than 0% [29].

Nowadays, an ongoing randomized phase II study (NCT01367002) evaluates the efficacy of carboplatin/paclitaxel with or without trastuzumab in selected patients with advanced or recurrent type II EC (papillary serous) and ErbB-2 overexpression [30].

On the other hand, the EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKIs) (gefitinib, erlotinib) prevent ATP binding to the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR in tumor cells. They also prevent tyrosine kinase activity and subsequent intracellular signalling [20-22]. It is worth noting that gefitinib and erlotinib are reversible TKIs [22]. The EGFR TKIs represent another equally attractive treatment option, especially in patients with advanced, recurrent or metastatic EC with EGFR overexpression [4-16,23,31-33].

A phase II study of Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG-229C) evaluated the efficacy of gefitinib as a single agent in unselected patients with persistent or recurrent EC. Nonetheless, the study failed to demonstrate significant activity of gefitinib. In the study population, the complete response rate was almost 4.1% and the

progression free survival  $\geq 6$  months was approximately 16.6% [33].

A phase II study (NCIC IND-148) evaluated the efficacy of erlotinib as a single agent in unselected patients with advanced or metastatic EC. However, the study failed to demonstrate significant activity of erlotinib. The partial response rate in the study population was about 12.5% [32].

In the same way, the EGFR and ErbB-2 TKIs (lapatinib, afatinib) prevent ATP binding to the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR and ErbB-2 in tumor cells. They also prevent tyrosine kinase activity and subsequent intracellular signalling [20,21]. It is interesting to note that lapatinib is reversible TKI, while afatinib is irreversible TKI [21,22]. The EGFR and ErbB-2 TKIs represent another attractive treatment option in patients with advanced, recurrent or metastatic EC with EGFR and ErbB-2 overexpression [4-16,23,31-33].

A phase II study of Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG-229D) evaluated the efficacy of lapatinib as a single agent in unselected patients with persistent or recurrent EC. The study failed to demonstrate significant activity of lapatinib. In the study population, the partial response rate was almost 3.3% and the progression free survival  $\geq 6$  months was about 10% [31].

During the last decade, molecular targeted therapies have failed to demonstrate significant activity in unselected EC patients [1,3-16,34]. These therapies have modest overall response rate, unless they are associated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy [20].

It is worth noting that ErbB-targeted therapies have not evaluated clinically in patients with type II EC [35]. Perhaps ErbB-targeted therapies can be used as an adjuvant treatment in selected patients with type II EC and EGFR and ErbB-2 overexpression [1,3-16,23,26,27,35-41].

In conclusion, the efficacy of ErbB-targeted therapies should be further investigated with prospective randomized clinical trials in carefully selected subgroups of EC patients [4,5,10,12,13,23,28,29,32,35,42,43]. Moreover, further studies into the molecular pathways of EC, will increase our knowledge and lead to the discovery of new generation molecular targeted therapies [4,5,10-12].

## References

1. Uberall I, Kolar Z, Trojanec R, Berkovcova J, Hajduch M (2008) The status and role of ErbB receptors in human cancer. *Exp Mol Pathol* 84(2): 79-89.
2. Casalini P, Iorio M, Galmozzi E, Menard S (2004) Role of HER receptors family in development and differentiation. *J Cell Physiol* 200(3): 343-350.
3. Marmor M, Skaria K, Yarden Y (2004) Signal transduction and oncogenesis by ErbB/HER receptors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 58(3): 903-913.
4. Adonakis G, Androutsopoulos G (2012) The role of ErbB receptors in endometrial cancer. In: Saldivar J (Ed.), *Cancer of the uterine endometrium - advances and controversies: InTech* 23-38.
5. Adonakis G, Androutsopoulos G, Koumoundourou D, Liava A, Ravazoula P, et al. (2008) Expression of the epidermal growth factor system in endometrial cancer. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol* 29(5): 450-454.
6. Androutsopoulos G (2012) Current treatment options in patients with endometrial cancer. *J Community Med Health Educ* 2(12): e113.
7. Androutsopoulos G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2014) ErbB targeted therapy in endometrial cancer. In: Farghaly S, editor. *Endometrial cancer: current epidemiology, detection and management*. Nova Science Publishers 353-370.
8. Androutsopoulos G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2015) Present and future in endometrial cancer treatment. *Obstet Gynecol Int J* 2(2): 00031.
9. Androutsopoulos G, Adonakis G, Liava A, Ravazoula P, Decavalas G (2013) Expression and potential role of ErbB receptors in type II endometrial cancer. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 168(2): 204-208.
10. Androutsopoulos G, Decavalas G (2013) Management of endometrial cancer. *Int J Translation Community Dis* 1(1): 1-3.
11. Androutsopoulos G, Decavalas G (2014) Endometrial cancer: current treatment strategies. *World J Oncol Res* 1(1): 1-4.
12. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2014) Molecular biology, expression and clinical significance of ErbB receptors in endometrial cancer. *Hel J Obst Gynecol* 13(3): 77-83.
13. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2014) ErbB receptors and ErbB targeted therapies in endometrial cancer. *J Cancer Ther* 5(6): 483-492.
14. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2015) Molecular mechanisms, expression and clinical role of ErbB receptors in endometrial cancer. *Int J Clin Ther Diagn S1*(6): 28-32.
15. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2015) Current treatment approach of endometrial Cancer. *Int J Clin Ther Diagn S1*(3): 8-11.
16. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2015) ErbB targeted therapy in endometrial cancer. *Int J Clin Ther Diagn S1*(2): 5-7.
17. Yarden Y (2001) The EGFR family and its ligands in human cancer. signalling mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Eur J Cancer* 37(Suppl 4): S3-8.
18. Salomon D, Brandt R, Ciardiello F, Normanno N (1995) Epidermal growth factor-related peptides and their receptors in human malignancies. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 19(3): 183-232.
19. Holbro T, Civenni G, Hynes N (2003) The ErbB receptors and their role in cancer progression. *Exp Cell Res* 284(1): 99-110.
20. Baselga J, Arteaga CL (2005) Critical update and emerging trends in epidermal growth factor receptor targeting in cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 23(11): 2445-2459.
21. Lurje G, Lenz HJ (2009) EGFR signaling and drug discovery. *Oncology* 77(6): 400-410.
22. Tebbutt N, Pedersen M, Johns T (2013) Targeting the ERBB family in cancer: couples therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 13(9): 663-673.
23. Santin A, Bellone S, Roman J, McKenney J, Pecorelli S (2008)

- Trastuzumab treatment in patients with advanced or recurrent endometrial carcinoma overexpressing HER2/neu. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 102(2): 128-131.
24. Trials NC (2012) Phase II study of cetuximab (erbitux) in patients with progressive or recurrent endometrial cancer. *ClinicalTrials.gov*.
25. Slomovitz B, Schmeler K, Miller D (2010) Phase II study of cetuximab (Erbix) in patients with progressive or recurrent endometrial cancer [abstract]. *Gynecol Oncol* 116(suppl 1): S13.
26. Jewell E, Secord A, Brotherton T, Berchuck A (2006) Use of trastuzumab in the treatment of metastatic endometrial cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 16(3): 1370-1373.
27. Vilella J, Cohen S, Smith D, Hibshoosh H, Hershman D (2006) HER-2/neu overexpression in uterine papillary serous cancers and its possible therapeutic implications. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 16(5): 1897-1902.
28. Santin A (2010) Letter to the Editor referring to the manuscript entitled: «Phase II trial of trastuzumab in women with advanced or recurrent HER-positive endometrial carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study» recently reported by Fleming et al., (*Gynecol Oncol.*, 116;15-20;2010). *Gynecol Oncol* 118(1): 95-96.
29. Fleming G, Sill M, Darcy K, McMeekin D, Thigpen J, et al. (2010) Phase II trial of trastuzumab in women with advanced or recurrent, HER2-positive endometrial carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 116(1): 15-20.
30. Trials NC (2015) Evaluation of carboplatin/paclitaxel with and without trastuzumab (Herceptin) in uterine serous cancer. *ClinicalTrials.gov*.
31. Leslie K, Sill M, Lankes H, Fischer E, Godwin A, et al. (2012) Lapatinib and potential prognostic value of EGFR mutations in a Gynecologic Oncology Group phase II trial of persistent or recurrent endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 127(2): 345-350.
32. Oza A, Eisenhauer E, Elit L, Cutz J, Sakurada A, et al. (2008) Phase II study of erlotinib in recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer: NCIC IND-148. *J Clin Oncol* 26(26): 4319-4325.
33. Leslie K, Sill M, Fischer E, Darcy K, Mannel R, et al. (2013) A phase II evaluation of gefitinib in the treatment of persistent or recurrent endometrial cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 129(3): 486-494.
34. Hogberg T (2011) What is the role of chemotherapy in endometrial cancer? *Curr Oncol Rep* 13(6): 433-441.
35. Konecny G, Santos L, Winterhoff B, Hatmal M, Keeney GL, et al. (2009) HER2 gene amplification and EGFR expression in a large cohort of surgically staged patients with nonendometrioid (type II) endometrial cancer. *Br J Cancer* 100(1): 89-95.
36. Konecny G, Venkatesan N, Yang G, Dering J, Ginther C, Finn R, et al. (2008) Activity of lapatinib a novel HER2 and EGFR dual kinase inhibitor in human endometrial cancer cells. *Br J Cancer* 98(6): 1076-1084.
37. Vandenput I, Vanden Bempt I, Leunen K, Neven P, Berteloot P, et al. (2009) Limited clinical benefit from trastuzumab in recurrent endometrial cancer: two case reports. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 67(1): 46-48.
38. El-Sahwi K, Bellone S, Cocco E, Cargnelutti M, Casagrande F, et al. (2010) In vitro activity of pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab in uterine serous papillary adenocarcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 102(1): 134-143.
39. El-Sahwi K, Santin A (2011) ErbB2 overexpression in uterine serous cancer: a molecular target for trastuzumab therapy. *Obstet Gynecol Int* 2011: 128295.
40. Fader A, Santin A, Gehrig P (2013) Early stage uterine serous carcinoma: management updates and genomic advances. *Gynecol Oncol* 129(1): 244-250.
41. Gadducci A, Tana R, Cosio S, Fanucchi A, Genazzani A (2008) Molecular target therapies in endometrial cancer: from the basic research to the clinic. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 24(5): 239-249.
42. Odicino F, Bignotti E, Rossi E, Pasinetti B, Tassi R, et al. (2008) HER-2/neu overexpression and amplification in uterine serous papillary carcinoma: comparative analysis of immunohistochemistry, real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, and fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 18(1): 14-21.
43. Roque D, Santin A (2013) Updates in therapy for uterine serous carcinoma. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 25(1): 29-37.