



Editorial

Volume 1 Issue 5 - December 2016
DOI: 10.19080/JGWH.2016.01.555573

J Gynecol Women's Health

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Georgios Androutsopoulos

The Role of Lymphadenectomy in Patients with Endometrial Cancer

Georgios Androutsopoulos^{1*}, Ioannis C. Kotsopoulos² and Georgios Decavalas¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Patras, Greece

²Northern Gynaecological Oncology Centre, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, UK

Submission: November 28, 2016 ; **Published:** December 01, 2016

***Corresponding author:** Georgios Androutsopoulos, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Patras, Medical School, Rion 26504, Greece, Tel: +306974088092; Email: androutsopoulos@upatras.gr; androutsopoulosgeorgios@hotmail.com

Editorial

Endometrial cancer (EC) represents the most common malignancy of the female genital tract in developed countries [1-10]. Current international guidelines (ACOG, FIGO, SGO, ESGO and ESMO), recommend systematic surgical staging as the initial treatment approach for all types of EC [type I (endometrioid) and type II (serous, clear cell, undifferentiated)] [2-4,6-15]. This is mainly because systematic surgical staging offers many diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic benefits for these patients [2-4,6-13].

Pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy represents an integral part of the systematic surgical staging [2-4,6-11,16]. Pelvic lymphadenectomy should include the removal of the nodal tissue from the distal half of the common iliac artery, the external iliac artery and vein (down to the deep circumflex iliac vein) and the obturator fat pad (anterior to the obturator nerve) [11]. In para-aortic lymphadenectomy the nodal tissue from the inferior vena cava and aorta (up to the level of either the renal vessels or to the inferior mesenteric artery) is dissected [8-11].

According to the revised FIGO staging system for endometrial cancer, lymphadenectomy represents the only way to accurately diagnose patients with FIGO stage IIIC disease [2-4,6-13,16-18]. Therefore, lymphadenectomy provides important information regarding the need for postoperative adjuvant treatment, in order to maximize the survival and minimize the morbidity of over-treatment (post-radiation effects, chemotherapy related toxicity) and the risks of under-treatment (recurrence) [8,11,18-20].

The implementation of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with early stage type I EC, does not affect the overall and the disease free survival [2-11,13,21-23]. According to the results of the ASTEC study, pelvic

lymphadenectomy should not be recommended as a routine procedure outside of clinical trials, in patients with early stage type I EC [22]. However, this requires an extensive intraoperative frozen section evaluation of the uterine specimen, an approach usually not available or feasible in many hospitals [11,20,22]. On the contrary, pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy improves overall survival in patients with advanced stage type I EC as well as in all patients with type II EC [2-11,24-28].

There is an ongoing debate regarding the need and the extent of para-aortic lymphadenectomy in EC patients, mainly because the occurrence of isolated para-aortic lymph node metastases with negative pelvic nodes, is approximately only 1-3,5% [8,11,20,29]. Based on the results of the SEPAL study, combined pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy should be recommended in intermediate and high risk EC patients (stage Ib or more in type I EC and any stage in type II EC), as there are essential survival benefits [8,30]. Furthermore, the implementation of para-aortic lymphadenectomy up to the level of renal vessels is preferable, because most patients with para-aortic lymph node involvement have metastases above the level of the inferior mesenteric artery [8,29,31].

The extent of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy should be confirmed pathologically in the tissue specimen [8,11]. Although there is not any limit regarding the number of the removed lymph nodes, the removal of more than 10-12 lymph nodes is directly correlated with improved prognosis [8,11,24,26,27,32]. Consequently, the total number of the removed lymph nodes, reflects the adequacy of lymphadenectomy [8,24,26].

The most common intraoperative and postoperative complications of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy, are vessel or nerve injury, pelvic lymphocysts, lymphoedema and

cellulitis [2-4,6,7,9-11,21,33,34]. Extended pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy (more than 14 lymph nodes) increases significantly the risk for these perioperative complications [2-4,6,7,9-11,21,33,34]. This is the main reason why, surgeons should carefully balance the increased morbidity and the risk for perioperative complications with any survival benefit, especially in elderly patients and in patients with comorbidities (obesity, diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease) [2-4,6,7,9-11,33,35,36].

In recent years, the sentinel lymph node detection and dissection has emerged as an attractive approach, mainly because it could potentially be related to reduced risk of perioperative complications compared to the systematic lymphadenectomy. Particularly in EC, sentinel lymph node dissection still remains experimental and represents a balance between systematic lymphadenectomy and no dissection in low and intermediate risk EC patients [8,37-42]. The approach is based on the theory that lymph drains away from the tumor in a specific centrifugal pattern [38,39]. Consequently, if the sentinel lymph node is negative for metastasis, then the chance that more distal nodes are involved by tumor is very low, and therefore the need for further lymphadenectomy is not necessary [38,39].

There are many arguments regarding the site of injection (cervix, uterine serosa or endometrium), the injection method and the used injected agent (^{99m}Tc, patent blue and indocyanine green) [8,13,38,39,41,43-48]. Based on the results of the SENTI-ENDO study, sentinel lymph node mapping could play an essential role in the identification of lymph nodes with micrometastases, especially in EC patients with early stage disease [8,13,38,39,41,43,49,50]. Nevertheless, further prospective randomized clinical trials are needed [8,37,39,40,50].

In conclusion, pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy plays an essential role in the systematic surgical staging of patients with EC [2-4,6-11,16]. Moreover, provides important information for the postoperative adjuvant treatment of these patients, in order to maximize the survival and minimize the morbidity of over-treatment (post-radiation effects, chemotherapy related toxicity) and the risks of under-treatment (recurrence). Provisional results of the sentinel lymph node dissection look promising and future studies would be able to show if this approach could replace the systematic lymphadenectomy.

References

1. Siegel R, Miller K, Jemal A (2016) Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 66(1): 7-30.
2. Androutsopoulos G (2012) Current treatment options in patients with endometrial cancer. J Community Med Health Educ 2: e113.
3. Androutsopoulos G, Decavalas G (2013) Management of endometrial cancer. Int J Translation Community Dis 1(1): 1-3.
4. Androutsopoulos G, Decavalas G (2014) Endometrial cancer: current treatment strategies. World J Oncol Res 1(1): 1-4.
5. Sorosky J (2012) Endometrial cancer. Obstet Gynecol 120(2 Pt 1): 383-397.
6. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2015) Current treatment approach of endometrial cancer. Int J Clin Ther DiagnS1(3): 8-11.
7. Androutsopoulos G, Adonakis G, Decavalas G (2015) Present and future in endometrial cancer treatment. Obstet Gynecol Int J 2(2): 00031.
8. Colombo N, Creutzberg C, Amant F, Bosse T, Gonzalez-Martin A, et al. (2015) ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO Consensus Conference on Endometrial Cancer: diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer 26(1): 1-29.
9. Androutsopoulos G, Michail G, Decavalas G (2016) New insights in endometrial cancer treatment. Clinics in Oncology 1: 1-4.
10. Androutsopoulos G, Decavalas G (2016) Standard and novel therapies in endometrial cancer. J Gynecol Women's Health 1(3): 1-4.
11. ACOG (2015) ACOG practice bulletin # 149: Endometrial cancer. Obstet Gynecol 125: 1006-26.
12. Pecorelli S (2009) Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva, cervix, and endometrium. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 105(2): 103-104.
13. Burke W, Orr J, Leitao M, Salom E, Gehrig P, Olawaiye A, et al. (2014) Endometrial cancer: a review and current management strategies: part I. Gynecol Oncol 134(2): 385-392.
14. Bokhman J (1983) Two pathogenetic types of endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 15(1): 10-17.
15. Doll A, Abal M, Rigau M, Monge M, Gonzalez M, et al. (2008) Novel molecular profiles of endometrial cancer-new light through old windows. Journal Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 108(3-5): 221-229.
16. Creasman W, Morrow C, Bundy B, Homesley H, Graham J, et al. (1987) Surgical pathologic spread patterns of endometrial cancer. A Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. Cancer 60(8 Suppl): 2035-2041.
17. McMeekin D, Lashbrook D, Gold M, Johnson G, Walker J, et al. (2001) Analysis of FIGO Stage IIIC endometrial cancer patients. Gynecol Oncol 81(2): 273-278.
18. Bakkum-Gamez JN, Gonzalez-Bosquet J, Laack NN, Mariani A, Dowdy SC (2008) Current issues in the management of endometrial cancer. Mayo Clin Proc 83(1): 97-112.
19. Mariani A, Dowdy S, Keeney G, Long H, Lesnick T, et al. (2004) High-risk endometrial cancer subgroups: candidates for target-based adjuvant therapy. Gynecol Oncol 95(1): 120-126.
20. Mariani A, Dowdy S, Cliby W, Gostout B, Jones M, et al. (2008) Prospective assessment of lymphatic dissemination in endometrial cancer: a paradigm shift in surgical staging. Gynecol Oncol 109(1): 11-18.
21. Benedetti Panici P, Basile S, Maneschi F, Alberto Lissoni A, Signorelli M, et al. (2008) Systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy vs. no lymphadenectomy in early-stage endometrial carcinoma: randomized clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 100(23): 1707-1716.
22. Kitchener H, Swart A, Qian Q, Amos C, Parmar M (2009) Efficacy of systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer (MRC ASTEC trial): a randomised study. Lancet 373(9658): 125-136.
23. Frost J, Webster K, Bryant A, Morrison J (2015) Lymphadenectomy for the management of endometrial cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 21(9): Cd007585.
24. Kilgore L, Partridge E, Alvarez R, Austin J, Shingleton H, et al. (1995) Adenocarcinoma of the endometrium: survival comparisons of patients with and without pelvic node sampling. Gynecol Oncol 56(1): 29-33.
25. Chan J, Cheung M, Huh W, Osann K, Husain A, et al. (2006) Therapeutic role of lymph node resection in endometrioid corpus cancer: a study of 12,333 patients. Cancer 2006 107(8): 1823-1830.

26. Cragun J, Havrilesky L, Calingaert B, Synan I, Secord A, et al. (2005) Retrospective analysis of selective lymphadenectomy in apparent early-stage endometrial cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 23(16): 3668-3675.
27. Lutman C, Havrilesky L, Cragun J, Secord A, Calingaert B, et al. (2006) Pelvic lymph node count is an important prognostic variable for FIGO stage I and II endometrial carcinoma with high-risk histology. *Gynecol Oncol* 102(1): 92-97.
28. Mariani A, Webb M, Galli L, Podratz K (2000) Potential therapeutic role of para-aortic lymphadenectomy in node-positive endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 76(3): 348-356.
29. Abu-Rustum NR, Gomez J, Alektiar K, Soslow R, Hensley M, et al. (2009) The incidence of isolated paraaortic nodal metastasis in surgically staged endometrial cancer patients with negative pelvic lymph nodes. *Gynecol Oncol* 115(2): 236-238.
30. Todo Y, Kato H, Kaneuchi M, Watari H, Takeda M, et al. (2010) Survival effect of para-aortic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer (SEPAL study): a retrospective cohort analysis. *Lancet* 2010 375(9721): 1165-1172.
31. Kumar S, Podratz K, Bakkum-Gamez J, Dowdy S, Weaver A, et al. (2014) Prospective assessment of the prevalence of pelvic, paraaortic and high paraaortic lymph node metastasis in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 132(1): 38-43.
32. Abu-Rustum N, Iasonos A, Zhou Q, Oke E, Soslow R, et al. (2008) Is there a therapeutic impact to regional lymphadenectomy in the surgical treatment of endometrial carcinoma? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 198(4): 457.
33. Franchi M, Ghezzi F, Riva C, Miglierina M, Buttarelli M, et al. Postoperative complications after pelvic lymphadenectomy for the surgical staging of endometrial cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 78(4): 232-237.
34. May K, Bryant A, Dickinson H, Kehoe S, Morrison J (2010) Lymphadenectomy for the management of endometrial cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1: CD007585.
35. Lachance J, Darus C, Rice L (2008) Surgical management and postoperative treatment of endometrial carcinoma. *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 1(3): 97-105.
36. Lowery W, Gehrig P, Ko E, Secord A, Chino J, et al. (2012) Surgical staging for endometrial cancer in the elderly - is there a role for lymphadenectomy? *Gynecol Oncol* 126(1): 12-15.
37. Kang S, Yoo H, Hwang J, Lim M, Seo S, et al. (2011) Sentinel lymph node biopsy in endometrial cancer: meta-analysis of 26 studies. *Gynecol Oncol* 123(3): 522-527.
38. Abu-Rustum N, Khouri-Collado F, Pandit-Taskar N, Soslow R, Dao F, et al. (2009) Sentinel lymph node mapping for grade 1 endometrial cancer: is it the answer to the surgical staging dilemma? *Gynecol Oncol* 113(2): 163-169.
39. Abu-Rustum NR (2014) Sentinel lymph node mapping for endometrial cancer: a modern approach to surgical staging. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 12(2): 288-297.
40. Cormier B, Rozenholc A, Gotlieb W, Plante M, Giede C (2015) Sentinel lymph node procedure in endometrial cancer: A systematic review and proposal for standardization of future research. *Gynecol Oncol* 138(2): 478-485.
41. Plante M, Touhami O, Trinh X, Renaud M, Sebastianelli A, et al. (2015) Sentinel node mapping with indocyanine green and endoscopic near-infrared fluorescence imaging in endometrial cancer. A pilot study and review of the literature. *Gynecol Oncol* 137(3): 443-447.
42. Ballester M, Dubernard G, Lecuru F, Heitz D, Mathevet P, et al. (2011) Detection rate and diagnostic accuracy of sentinel-node biopsy in early stage endometrial cancer: a prospective multicentre study (SENTI-ENDO). *Lancet Oncol* 12(5): 469-476.
43. Lopez-De la Manzanara Cano C, Cordero Garcia JM, Martin-Francisco C, Pascual-Ramirez J, Parra C, et al. (2014) Sentinel lymph node detection using ^{99m}Tc combined with methylene blue cervical injection for endometrial cancer surgical management: a prospective study. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 24(6): 1048-1053.
44. Niikura H, Kaiho-Sakuma M, Tokunaga H, Toyoshima M, Utsunomiya H, Nagase S, et al. (2013) Tracer injection sites and combinations for sentinel lymph node detection in patients with endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 131(2): 299-303.
45. Torne A, Pahisa J, Vidal-Sicart S, Martinez-Roman S, Paredes P, et al. (2013) Transvaginal ultrasound-guided myometrial injection of radiotracer (TUMIR): a new method for sentinel lymph node detection in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 128(1): 88-94.
46. Solima E, Martinelli F, Ditto A, Maccauro M, Carcangiu M, et al. (2012) Diagnostic accuracy of sentinel node in endometrial cancer by using hysteroscopic injection of radiolabeled tracer. *Gynecol Oncol* 126(3): 419-423.
47. Perrone A, Casadio P, Formelli G, Levorato M, Ghi T, et al. (2008) Cervical and hysteroscopic injection for identification of sentinel lymph node in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 111(1): 62-67.
48. Delaloye JF, Pampallona S, Chardonnens E, Fiche M, Lehr H, et al. (2007) Intraoperative lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy using hysteroscopy in patients with endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 106(1): 89-93.
49. Ballester M, Dubernard G, Bats A, Heitz D, Mathevet P, et al. (2012) Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of frozen section with imprint cytology for intraoperative examination of sentinel lymph node in early-stage endometrial cancer: results of Senti-Endo study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 19(11): 3515-3521.
50. Darai E, Dubernard G, Bats A, Heitz D, Mathevet P, et al. (2015) Sentinel node biopsy for the management of early stage endometrial cancer: long-term results of the SENTI-ENDO study. *Gynecol Oncol* 136(1): 54-59.

Your next submission with JuniperPublishers
will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(**Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio**)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<http://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>