



Research Article

Volume 9 Issue 4 - May 2018  
DOI: 10.19080/JGWH.2018.09.555766

J Gynecol Women's Health

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Hang Doan Thi

# The Spindle of Oocytes Observed by Polarized Light Microscope Can Predict Embryo Quality



Tung Nguyen Thanh, Hang Doan Thi\* and Lam Quan Hoang

Centre for Human Reproduction and Embryology, Military Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

Submission: March 06, 2018 ; Published: May 08, 2018

\*Corresponding author: Hang Doan Thi, Centre for Human Reproduction and Embryology, Military Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam, Email: hangdt166@gmail.com

## Summary

**Objective:** to evaluate spindle position of metaphase II oocyte and the development of embryos originated from oocytes with spindle and without spindle.

**Methods:** 250 MII oocytes were analysed with polarized microscope in IVF centre of Viet Nam Military Medical University.

**Results:** Spindles were detected in 170 (77.98%) of 218 metaphase II oocytes, 115 spindles (67.65%) of MII oocytes is beneath or adjacent to the first polar body, 55 oocytes had the spindle located between 300 and 1800 away from the first polar body. Fertilization rate and the rate of good quality embryos in oocytes with a visible spindle (77.98% and 61.02%) were higher than those in oocytes without a visible spindle (22.02% and 36.84%), the difference was statistically significant with  $p < 0.001$  and  $p < 0.05$ .

**Conclusion:** the spindle position of metaphase II oocytes is not always beneath or adjacent to the first polar body. Fertilization rate and the rate of good quality embryos in oocytes with a visible spindle were higher than those in oocytes without a visible spindle.

**Keywords:** ICSI (intracytoplasmic sperm injection); Metaphase II oocyte; Polscope; Spindle

## Introduction

Ovarian stimulation to make multiple of good oocytes and embryos is one of the most important steps to increase the succeed rate of In-vitro Fertilization. The abnormal genetic oocytes are made by ovarian stimulation with impossibility in passing the physical limitation that can cause failure of embryos development inside uterus. Oocyte selection with best quality without genetic abnormalities by non-invasive technique is essential. Embryologists give many morphology criteria to assess and select good oocytes and put the embryos into uterus. Cytoplasm, zona pellucida, and polar body can be observed by conventional Microscope while some other parts of cell is invisible. Polarized Microscope allows embryologist to observe morphology of the spindle. The appearance of spindle helps us recognize either oocyte maturation or the quality of laboratory.

There are 2 goals in this research:

- Evaluation of spindle location of mature oocytes
- Evaluation of embryos development by oocytes with spindle and oocytes with not-observed spindle

## Methods

### Clinical samples

During the period covering January to March 2018, mature oocytes of IVF patient at IVF Center of Viet Nam Military Medical University. Selection criteria: patients under 35 years old, 3ng/

ml  $< AMH < 10ng/ml$ , the number of secondary follicle of 5-15 on the second or third day of menses cycle; the absence of polycystic ovaries, low response to ovary stimulation, low quantity and quality sperm.

### Equipments and materials

POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPE Ti-U Nikon TE2000 with Module polar AIDE - Octax laser system, Digital Camera. Cultural media of Vitrolife (Sweden). Bench top incubators (Cook).

### Methods

Cross-sectional analysis Research.

### Oocyte preparation

The controlled ovarian stimulation, following the GnRH antagonist protocol. Ovary was under ultrasound daily until having at least 3 follicles bigger than 17mm. Ovulation was triggered by hCG 5000IU injection. Retrieve Oocytes after injection hCG 35-36h. After retrieving, put oocytes in incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 2 hours. Then denude Oocytes by enzyme hyaluronidase, put fresh Oocytes in incubator for 1 hour. Evaluate maturation level of oocytes and spindle before ICSI.

### Cultures

After ICSI 16-18h, put zygote into new medium (G1 plus). Evaluate Embryos in the second day, and then put them into G2

plus in Incubator Cook. Classify Embryos in the third day basing on criteria of Alpha of ESHRE 2011 [1].

**Result**

Following Table 1: in 218 matured oocytes, there are 170 visible spindle oocytes (77.98%) and 48 not observed spindle oocytes (22.02%).

**Table 1:** The rate of visible spindle in the mature oocytes.


Mii	Mii with Visible Spindle	Mii not Observed Spindle
218	170	48
-100%	(77.98%)	(22.02%)

The result of Table 2 showed that of 170 visible spindle matured oocytes, there were 115 oocytes (67.65%) with spindle

directly beneath and adjacent to the first polar body, 40 oocytes (23.53%) with spindle located between 30 and 60 degrees away from the first polar body, 10 oocytes (5.88%) with spindle located between 60 and 120 degrees angle, 5 oocytes (2.94%) with spindle located between 120 and 180 degrees angle (Figure 1).

**Table 2:** Spindle location.

MII with Visible Spindle	Directly Beneath and Adjacent to the First Polar Body	30- 60 degrees	60-120 degrees	120-180 degrees
170	115	40	10	5
-100%	-67.65%	-23.53%	-5.88%	-2.94%



**Figure 1.**

Image MII was observed by polarized microscope, with magnification 200X (the thin arrow: the first polar body. The bold arrow: meiosis spindle, beneath the first polar body, the right image shows that the spindle located in 70 degrees way from the first polar body.

**Table 3:** The preclinical characteristics.

Classification	Mii Oocytes with Visible Spindle	Mii Oocytes not Observed Spindle	P
The fertilization rate	69.41% (118/170)	39.58% (19/48)	< 0.001
Embryo Classification			
Good	61.02% (72/118)	36.84% (7/19)	< 0.05
Fair	27.12% (32/118)	47.37% (9/19)	> 0.05
Bad	11.86% (14/118)	15.79% (3/19)	> 0.05

The fertilization rate of Mature oocytes with visible spindle was 69.41%, whereas this of mature oocytes with not-observed spindle was 39.58%. The difference was statistically significant with  $p < 0.001$ . The rate of good embryos among MII oocytes with visible spindle was higher than that among MII oocytes with

not-observed spindle (61.02% and 36.84%). The difference was statistically significant with  $p < 0.05$  (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In this research, oocytes were observed at 39 hours after hCG administration, which is the time that Cohen et. al. [2] had observed the spindle of MII oocytes with the highest rate (81.5%).

In this research, in spite of 48 oocytes with the first polar body, the rate of invisible spindle oocytes was 22.02%. To explain the absence spindle in MII oocytes, Montag et al. [3] using time-lapse to observe the oocyte maturation in the in-vitro showed that when MI oocytes extruded the first polar body, a spindle had established the bridge between the polar body and the oolemma for 75 -90 minutes. These image was recorded at Telophase 1 by polarized microscope. After extruding the first polar body, it took 115- 150 minutes to establish the spindle beneath the first polar body. Therefore, the spindle absence was in the oocyte meiosis.

In ICSI, the spindle location of mature oocyte was involved to the first polar body. The ICSI micropipette had to avoid the spindle in the injection. However, several researches reported

that the first polar body did not exactly predict the spindle location [4]. Thus the spindle could be injured when located far from the first polar body. The use of polarized microscope could reduce spindle injured in ICSI. In this research, there were 8 oocytes (8.7%) with spindle located between 600 and 1200 degrees away the first polar body, this location was for injecting by the ICSI micropipette though out the 3-hour position in the oocyte. To explain the change of spindle location away from the first polar body, in the oocyte denudation by physical processing, the first polar body was moved. Another reason was that the fluid surround the spindle forced its movement [5].

Oocyte assessment by using polarization microscope improved the prediction of oocyte quality. In the Woodward's research 2008, the fertilization rate and embryo quality in day 3 and day 5 of the oocyte MII with visible spindle were higher and better than those of the oocyte MII with not-observed spindle [6]. In our research, fertilization rates between two groups were different significantly statistically ( $p < 0.001$ ). This result is similar to the result of Cohen et. al. 2004, Heindryckx 2011 [2,7].

There are many reasons for the IVF failure, in which the major reason is from chromosome abnormalities and 80% of aneuploidy embryos are from the oocyte meiosis 1 [8]. Chromosome dividing errors often happen in meiosis 1. The main mechanism is the error in homologous chromosome pairing in Prophase 1, recombination and early dividing of chromosome. Spindle controls the chromosome movement though many periods in meiosis and has a crucial role of meiosis [2]. Accordingn to Moon et. al. [6] implemented in 626 MII oocyte, the rate of good embryos among MII oocytes with visible spindle 64.2% was higher than that among MII oocytes with not-observed spindle 35,9% ( $p < 0.01$ ), and the rate of multi-pronuclear in MII oocytes with visible spindle (3PN, 4PN) was reduced, compared to MII oocytes with not-observed spindle (5.9% and 10,7%) [9,10].

### Conclusion

The spindle location may be far away from the first polar body. The fertilization and good embryo rates of MII oocytes with

visible spindle were higher than those of MII oocytes with not-observed spindle.

### References

1. Alpha Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group of Embryo (2011) The Istanbul consensus workshop on embryo assessment proceedings of an expert meeting. *Human Reproduction* 26(6): 1270-1283.
2. Cohen Y, Malcov M, Schwartz T, Mey-Raz N, Carmon A, et al. (2004) Spindle imaging: a new marker for optimal timing of ICSI? *Hum Reprod* 19(3): 649-654.
3. Montag M, Schimming T, van der Ven H (2006) Spindle imaging in human oocyte the impact of the meiotic cell cycle. *Reprod Biomed Online* 12(4): 442-446.
4. Silva C S, Kapura K, Oldenboug R and Keefe D L (1999) The first polar body doesn't not predict accurately the location of the metaphase II meiotic spindle in mammalian oocytes. *Fertil Reprod* 13: 719-721.
5. Moon JH, Hyun Ch S, Lee S W, Son W Y, Yoon S H, et al. (2003) Visualization of the metaphase II meiotic spindle in living human oocytes using the Polscope enables the prediction of embryonic developmental competence after ICSI. *Human Reproduction* 18(4): 817-820.
6. Woodward B, Montgomery S, Hartshome G, Campbell K, Kennedy R (2008) Spindle position assessment prior to ICSI does not benefit fertilization or early embryo quality. *Repro Biomed Online* 16(2): 232-238.
7. Heindryckx B, Gheselle D S, Lierman S, Gerris J, Sutter DP (2011) Efficiency of polarized microscopy as a predictive tool for human oocyte quality. *Human Reproduction* 26(3): 535-544.
8. Hassold T, Hunt P (2001) To err (meiotically; is human the genesis of human aneuploidy. *Not Rev Genet* 2(4): 280-291.
9. Kathy L, Sharpe T, Randall L, Zimmer (2000) Oocyte and Pre-Embryo Classification. *Hand book of the assisted reproduction laboratory*, pp. 179-196.
10. Palermo G, Joris H, Devroey P, Van Steirteghem AC (1992) Pregnancies after intracytoplasmic injection of single spermatozoon into an oocyte. *Lancet* 340(8810): 17-18.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License  
DOI: [10.19080/JGWH.2018.09.555766](https://doi.org/10.19080/JGWH.2018.09.555766)

### Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats  
( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>