

Research Article

Volume 1 Issue 4 – January 2017
DOI: 10.19080/JOIV.2017.01.555567

JOJ Immuno Virology

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Awasthi LP

Diagnostic Characteristics of Papaya Ring Spot Virus Isolates Infecting Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) in India



Shyam Singh¹, LP Awasthi^{2*}, Pankaj Kumar³ and Anjeet Jagre¹

¹S.K College of Agriculture and Research Station (IGKV), Kawardha, India

²Amity Center for Research and Innovation (Amity University Uttar Pradesh), Varanasi-2200, India

³Department of Plant Pathology, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, India

Submission: November 22, 2016; **Published:** January 30, 2017

***Corresponding author:** Awasthi LP, Amity Center for Research and Innovation (Amity University Uttar Pradesh), Varanasi-2200, India, Email: lpawasthi14@gmail.com

Abstract

A survey conducted, on orchards of papaya in different districts revealed various type of symptoms on papaya plants viz., mild to severe mosaic, mottling, filiform, shoestring, puckering, distortion of leaves, leaf curling, leaf rolling, vein clearing, ringspot and yellowing on different plant parts (leaves, stems and fruits) and stunted growth of plants due to PRSV infection in all the locations. In case of host studies, virus produced systemic symptoms in the form of mosaic mottling and leaf distortion in *Carica papaya*, *Citrullus lanatus* var. *fistulosus*, *C. vulgaris*, *C. melo* (local and harichal), *C. sativus*, *C. anguria* var. *anguria*, *C. metuliferous*, *C. melo* var. *utilissimus*, *Cucurbita moschata*, *C. pepo*, *Luffa acutangula*, *L. cylindrical*, *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Momordica charantia* and *Ricinus communis*. *Ricinus communis* was found to be a new host of the virus and necrotic lesions were produced on *Chenopodium amaranticolor*, *C. quinon* and *Gopherana globosa* leaves. Transmissible by mechanical sap inoculation in papaya seedlings and *Chenopodium amaranticolor* leaves and usually gave 100.00 per cent infection followed by insect vector (*Myzus persicae* 93.33 %, *Aphis gossypii* 90.00 %, *Aphis craccivora* 83.33 %) and seeds (23.40 %) on papaya. Dilution end point of was recorded between 1×10^{-3} to 1×10^{-4} , thermal inactivation point between 50-55°C and longevity *in vitro* between 8 to 10 hrs.

Keywords: Bio-physical properties; *Carica papaya* L.; Host range; *Papaya ringspot Virus*; Transmission

Introduction

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is a popular and economically important fruit tree of tropical and subtropical countries in the World. Papaya provides economically important edible fruits and is considered to be one of the most important sources of vitamins A and C. In addition, papaya contains enzyme papain and chymopapain, both of which are widely used in the food industry and for medical purposes. It is consumed world-wide as fresh ripen fruit as well as vegetable and also used for the preparation of value added products. This crop is badly affected by biotic factors such as fungi, bacteria, viruses and nematodes. Among the biotic factors, viruses are the limiting factor for cultivation of papaya in India especially northern India. Large numbers of viruses have been reported time to time on papaya which belong to cucumo-, Gemini-, ilar-, poty-, rhabdo-, tobria- and tospovirus group [1]. Among the viruses Papaya ringspot virus (PRSV) is a major and ubiquitous limiting factor for papaya production throughout the papaya plantation worldwide. *Papaya*

ringspot virus is a member of the genus *Potyvirus* in the family *Potyviridae*, which causes severe yield losses (20%) in papaya. PRSV also infect cucurbits and other plants. Particles of PRSV are flexuous rod measuring 760-800 nm x 12 nm [2]. It consists of positive sense single stranded RNA with 9000 to 10,326 nucleotides in length excluding the poly 'A' tail [3] encapsulated by 30-36 kD coat protein. According to the host range specificity, PRSV is classified into two biotypes: (i) PRSV-W, formerly water mosaic virus 1, which naturally infects Cucurbitaceae crops but is unable to infect papaya; and (ii) PRSV-P, which naturally infects papaya (*Carica papaya*) and can be transmitted experimentally to cucurbits. Bio-physical properties of PRSV isolates in Uttar Pradesh have not been well characterized. In order to determine the bio-physical properties, such as symptomatology, host range, transmission, dilution end point, thermal inactivation point and longevity *in vitro*, of PRSV isolates from different geographical locations of Uttar Pradesh studies were carried out to establish the variability among all PRSV isolates.

Material and Methods

Symptomatology

Field survey was carried out at four locations of Etawah, Faizabad, Lucknow and Varanasi districts of Uttar Pradesh. Each locality was surveyed during the month of August or September, December or January and April or May. Data were recorded on appearance of symptoms on different plant parts from each location.

Transmission

Transmission through seeds: Some viruses are carried on the seed coat (testa) as surface contaminants. However, some other viruses carried in the embryo. Seeds of ten varieties (CO-7, Pusa Nanha, Coorghneydew, CO-2, Washington, CO-5, Pusa Gaint, Pusa Delicious, Pusa Dwarf, CO-1, CO-3) were collected from virus infected papaya fruits and dried under shade at room temperature. These seeds were sown in polythene bags having sandy loam soil and FYM (9:1) mixture. After the germination, observations were made daily for appearance of symptoms. Percentage of seed transmission was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Percent transmission} = \frac{\text{Diseased plants}}{\text{Total plants (diseased + healthy)}} \times 100$$

Transmission through mechanical sap inoculation: The inocula were prepared as described earlier. Four vigorously growing young leaves of hypersensitive host (*Chenopodium amaranticolor*) and systemic host (*Carica papaya*) were inoculated with cotton pad soaked in filtered sap and rubbed on the leaves of test plants. Local lesions on *Chenopodium amaranticolor* leaves, appeared after 6-8 days of inoculation were counted. The characteristic symptoms were observed on young leaves of inoculated plants of *Carica papaya* and per cent transmission was calculated.

Transmission through aphids: *Myzus persicae*, *Aphis gossypii* and *A. craccivora* were collected from the fields and maintained on *Solanum melongina* and *Lagenaria siceraria* plants. These aphids were collected from pure culture and transferred in the glass vials for pre-acquisition fasting. After two hrs of pre-acquisition fasting, aphids were placed on virus infected leaf for 5 to 10 minutes for acquisition feeding. Stylet feedings were observed under stereoscopic binocular. These aphids (10) were released one by one on test seedlings with the help of a fine hair brush. The seedlings were covered with cages after acquisition access and placed in dark chamber overnight. Next day the seedlings were sprayed with the metasystox @ 0.01% to kill the aphids. Daily observations on appearance of symptoms were recorded and per cent transmission was calculated.

Host range: Young infected leaves of papaya with distinct symptoms under natural conditions were collected and

ground in a mortar in 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH, 7.0) of 1:1 ratio (w/v). The slurry was squeezed through muslin cloth. Sap was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for five minutes and the supernatant thus obtained was used as standard inoculum. Plants of different families (Table 1) grown in earthen pots in a net house were inoculated at 4 leaves stage with sap of virus infected leaves. Before inoculation upper surface of the leaves was dusted uniformly with carborandum powder (600 meshes) and the inoculation was done by gently rubbing these leaves with forefinger dipped in inoculum. Inoculation was done very carefully without damaging the leaf surface by maintaining homogenous pressure. These leaves were washed with distilled water just after inoculation. Reactions on tested plants were assessed by visual observations.

Table 1: Host range studies of the causal virus.

S. No.	Family	Plants
1	Caricaceae	<i>Carica papaya L.</i>
2	Chenopodiaceae	<i>Chenopodium amaranticolor</i>
		<i>Chenopodium quinon</i>
3	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Citrullus lanatus var. fistulosus</i>
		<i>Citrullus vulgaris</i>
		<i>Cucumis melo (Local)</i>
		<i>Cucumis melo (Harichal)</i>
		<i>Cucumis sativus</i>
		<i>Cucumis anguria var. anguria</i>
		<i>Cucumis metuliferous</i>
		<i>Cucumis melo var. utilissimus</i>
		<i>Cucurbita moschata</i>
		<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>
		<i>Luffa acutangula</i>
		<i>Luffa cylindrica</i>
4	Solanaceae	<i>Momordica charantia</i>
		<i>Nicotiana xanthi</i>
		<i>Nicotiana glutinosa</i>
		<i>Nicotiana tabaccum</i>
		<i>Nicotiana tabaccum var. burley Ky-58</i>
		<i>Nicotiana rustica</i>
		<i>Lycopersicum esculentum</i>
<i>Datura stramonium</i>		

5	Leguminosae	<i>Vigna radiata</i>
		<i>Vigna mungo</i>
		<i>Vigna sinensis</i>
		<i>Pisum sativum</i>
6	Euphorbiace	<i>Ricinus communis</i>
7	Amaranthaceae	<i>Gomphrena globosa</i>

Dilution end point: The dilution end point exist between two dilution i.e. between the higher dilution that was still infectious and the next higher the non infection one. The test was performed by inoculating hypersensitive hosts with sap diluted repeatedly x 10. In case the local lesion host was not known, at least 5 plants, which reacted systemically, were inoculated with each sample. Symptomatic young leaves were collected from diseased plants. In the laboratory such leaves were washed properly and gently blotted dry with blotting paper. Fifty gram leaves were ground in a mortar and extracts were collected by passing through cheese cloth. Dilutions were made in a series like undiluted, 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} , 10^{-5} , 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} . Eight test tubes were placed in a row in a test tube stand. Second of these test tubes were filled with 9 ml water with help of a pipette. One ml sap was transferred in the second test tube to make dilution 10^{-1} . Sap was mixed thoroughly with water in test tube and 1 ml of this dilution (10^{-1}) was transferred to the third test tube to be make the dilution (10^{-2}). This procedure was repeated till 10^{-7} . The leaves of *Chenopodium amaranticolor* were inoculated with sap at different dilutions to test infectivity. There were five replicates for each dilution level. Symptoms were observed after 10-15 days and data were recorded for each treatment separately.

Thermal inactivation point: The thermal inactivation point of a virus in crude juice is “the temperature required for the complete inactivation of a virus in untreated crude juice during a 10 minutes exposure” to heat. The term is used to state one temperature as the inactivation temperature or to mention two temperatures in between at which the virus is inactivated completely. Symptomatic young leaves were collected from diseased plants. In the laboratory such leaves were washed properly and gently blotted dry with blotting paper. Fifty gram infected leaves were ground in a mortar and pressed through cheese cloth. Two ml of sap was transferred in 16 test tubes separately. The water bath was filled with water until the level was at least 3 cm above the level of the sap in the test tube. Water was heated to 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, and 100°C temperature. One test tube was placed in the rack of water bath when water reaches at 30°C temperature (lowest). A thermometer was placed in water bath close to test tube at same level. The temperature in each case was maintained for 10 minutes. Test tube was removed from bath after 10 minutes and cooled in running water. After heating the bath to the next

temperature treated a second tube in the same manner. When all test tubes were treated at specified temperatures, the leaves of *Chenopodium amaranticolor* were inoculated with each sample separately, including one untreated control, kept at ambient temperature ($20\pm^{\circ}\text{C}$). Regular observations were recorded for the appearance of symptoms in different treatments.

Longevity in vitro: Longevity in vitro may be defined as “the time expressed in days, weeks, hours for which the virus in crude juice kept at room temperature remains infectives. It is usual to store the crude juice in closed tubes and to lost a sample on test plants at a series of intervals. The inoculum was prepared as earlier and two ml sap was pipetted to each test tube and the tubes were closed with a stopper or aluminium foil. Tubes were stored at room temperature for 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22 and 24 hrs. After the specified duration of storage the samples were inoculated on the leaves of *Chenopodium amaranticolor*. Regular observations were made for the appearance of symptoms and data were recorded from each plant separately.

Results and Discussion

Symptomatology

Most of the plants surveyed at different locations showed symptoms of papaya ringspot disease. Symptoms varied from chlorotic mottling of the leaves to severe rugosity. Infected plants showed chlorosis on the youngest leaves, vein clearing rugosity and mottling of leaf lamina interveinal puckering or bulging of the leaf tissues on the upper surface of the young leaves. In the severe cause’s filiformy, shoestring and distinct chlorotic streaks were found on the leaf tendrils and younger portion of the shoot. ringspot pattern was observed on the skin of affected fruits. Size of these necrotic spots ranged from 3-20 mm in diameter. The number of ringspots in a single fruit varied from a few to more than 200 depending upon the size of fruits and severity of the disease. Maximum number of spots on fruit skin was observed on the sunny side i.e. opposite to stem. These spots coalesce to each other and form distorted ringspots on most of the fruits. Virus infected ripened fruits when peeled out had a characteristic broken or elongated rings on the surface of fruits. The ringspots were bigger in size and surrounded by white margin. The size of these spots ranged between 8-20 mm. Elongated dark green streaks were observed on petioles and upper half of the stem symptoms of blistering and deformity of large number of fruits were observed. Some fruits had chlorosis mosaic mottling symptoms and upper portion of the stem was distorted (Figure 1&2). Most of field surveyed revealed characteristic symptoms of papaya ringspot virus. Various types of symptoms like mild to severe mosaic, mottling, ringspot on fruits, leaves and stems, distortion of fruits, leaves and stems, filiform leaf, shoestring leaf, vein clearing, vein curling, vein distortion, puckering, leaf curling, leaf rolling, fruit yellowing, vein zigzag and stunting growth of plants were observed in all the locations. Several workers have described same type of symptoms for PRSV [4-10].



Figure 1: Symptoms produced by PRSV-P on different papaya plant organs.



Figure 2: Symptoms produced by PRSV-P on different host plants.

Host range

Table 2: Reaction of PRSV on different hosts of different family.

S. No.	Families	Plants	Reaction
1	Caricaceae	<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	Mosaic mottling, leaf distortion
2	Chenopodiaceae	<i>Chenopodium amaranticolor</i>	Necrotic local lesions
		<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i>	Necrotic local lesions
3	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Citrullus lanatus</i> var. <i>lanatus</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Citrullus vulgaris</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucumis melo</i> (Local)	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucumis melo</i> (Harichal)	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucumis anguria</i> var. <i>anguria</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucumis metuliferous</i>	
		<i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>utilissimus</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucurbita moschata</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Cucurbita pepo</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Luffa acutangula</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Luffa cylindrica</i>	Mosaic mottling
		<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i>	Mosaic mottling
<i>Momordica charantia</i>	Mosaic mottling		
4	Solanaceae	<i>Nicotiana xanthi</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Nicotiana glutinosa</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Nicotiana tabaccum</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Nicotiana burley</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Nicotiana rustica</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Lycopersicum esculentum</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Datura stramonium</i>	No symptoms
5	Leguminasae	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Vigna mungo</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Vigna sinensis</i>	No symptoms
		<i>Pisum sativum</i>	No symptoms
6	Euphorbiace	<i>Ricinus communis</i>	Mosaic mottling, leaf distortion
7	Amaranthaceae	<i>Gomphrena globosa</i>	Necrotic local lesions

The causal virus was easily transmitted by sap inoculation to *Carica papaya* plants. Results presented in Table 2 indicated that the virus could be transmitted mechanically in *Citrullus lanatus* var. *lanatus*, *Citrullus vulgaris*, *Cucumis melo* (Local), *Cucumis melo* (Harichal), *Cucumis sativus*, *Cucumis anguria* var. *anguria*, *Cucumis metuliferous*, *Cucumis melo* var. *utilissimus*, *Cucurbita moschata*, *Cucurbita pepo*, *Luffa acutangula*, *Luffa cylindrica*, *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Momordica charantia*, *Ricinus communis* and produced systemic mosaic mottling and leaf distortion symptoms. Whereas, necrotic local lesions were observed on *Chenopodium amaranticolor*, *Chenopodium quinoa* and *Gomphrena globosa* plants. The virus under study did not produce any symptom on *Nicotiana xanthi*, *Nicotiana glutinosa*, *Nicotiana tabaccum*, *Nicotiana tabaccum* var. *burley* Ky-58, *Nicotiana rustica*, *Lycopersicom esculentum*, *Datura stramonium*, *Vigna radiata*, *Vigna mungo*, *Vigna sinensis* and *Pisum sativum* which indicated their non host status (Figure 2). Papaya ringspot virus was easily mechanically transmitted in papaya, cucurbits and some other plants. Experimental findings showed that the virus was successfully transmitted by the sap inoculation method in plants belonging to families Caricaceae (*Carica papaya*), cucurbitaceae (*Citrullus lanatus* var. *fistulosus*, *C. vulgaris*, *C. melo* (local and harichal), *C. sativus*, *C. anguria* var. *anguria*, *C. metuliferous*, *C. melo* var. *utilissimus*, *Cucurbita moschata*, *C. pepo*, *Luffa acutangula*, *L. cylindrical*, *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Momordica charantia*) and Euphorbiaceae (*Ricinus communis*) with systemic mosaic mottling symptoms. However, plants of families Chenopodiaceae (*Chenopodium amaranticolor*, *C. quinoa*) and Amaranthaceae (*Gomphrena globosa*) produced necrotic lesions served as hypersensitive host. *Ricinus communis* of family Euphorbiaceae was found to be a new hosts of papaya ring spot virus. It has been reported earlier also that papaya ring spot virus infects plants of families Caricaceae, Chenopodiaceae and Cucurbitaceae [3,11-12]. It has been reported that squash and *C. metuliferous* were suitable host of PRSV-P. Dahal, et al. [13] reported PRSV disease incidence on *Bennicasa hispida*, *Momordica charantia*, *Citrullus vulgaris*, *Cucurbita maxima*, *C. melo*, *C. sativus*, *C. pepo*, *Luffa acutangula*, *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Triohosanthes cucurmeria* and *Sechium edule* confirmed by ELISA. Many cucurbitaceous plants were reported as natural hosts of papaya ring spot virus [14,15].

Transmission of causal virus

Results have indicated that virus under study was easily transmissible by mechanical sap inoculation in papaya seedlings and *Chenopodium amaranticolor* leaves and usually gave 100.00 per cent infection followed by insect vector (*Myzus persicae* 93.33 %, *Aphis gossypii* 90.00 %, *Aphis craccivora* 83.33 %) and seeds (23.40 %). *Bemisia tabaci* however could not transmit. Causal virus of papaya ringspot disease was easily transmitted by sap inoculation and exhibited 100 per cent transmission. As for as insect transmission is concerned maximum transmission was observed with *Myzus persicae* followed by *Aphis gossypii*

A. craccivora while no transmission was observed by *Bemisia tabaci*. The seeds from infected plants were capable for transmitting PRSV as 23.40 per cent (Table 3-5). Transmission of PRSV by infected sap from papaya to papaya and cucurbits was reported [16-18]. Papaya ringspot virus type P transmission was

reported by 21 species in 11 genera including *Myzus persicae*, *Aphis gossypii*, *A. nerii*, *A. spiraccola*, *A. craccivora*, *Corolina cypere*, *Dactynotus ambrasiae* [19,9]. *Talens, et al.* [20] have reported transmission of PRSV through seeds. In our studies we have also observed seed transmission upto 23.40 per cent.

Table 3: Transmission of PRSV through mechanically sap inoculation in systemic and hypersensitive hosts.

S. No.	Hosts	Type of Infection	No. of Plants Inoculated			No. of Local Lesion Per Leaf	Percent Infection
			Diseased	Healthy	Total		
1	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Systemic	30	0	30	-	100
2	<i>Chenopodium amaranticolor</i>	Hypersensitive	5	0	5	23.45	100

Table 4: Transmission of PRSV through infected seeds of different varieties of papaya.

S. No.	Varieties	Number of Seedlings			Transmission (%)
		Diseased	Healthy	Total	
1	CO-7	85	215	300	28.33
2	Pusa Nanha	60	240	300	20
3	Coorghoneydew	81	219	300	27
4	CO-2	55	260	300	18.33
5	Washington	75	225	300	25
6	CO-5	70	230	300	23.33
7	Pusa Gaint	64	236	300	21.33
8	Pusa Delicious	68	232	300	22.66
9	Pusa dwarf	78	222	300	26
10	CO-3	66	234	300	22
	Mean	70.2	229.8	300	23.4

Table 5: Transmission of PRSV through insect vectors on papaya plants.

S. No.	Methods of Transmission/ Vectors	Total Number of Inoculated Plants	Diseased Plants	Healthy Plants	Percent Transmission
1	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	30	28	2	93.33
2	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	30	27	3	90
3	<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	30	25	5	83.33
4	<i>Bemisia tabaci</i>	30	0	30	0

Dilution end point of causal virus

The virus remained infective in sap extracted from diseased leaves of papaya at 1: 1000 dilutions but not at 1: 10000 dilutions,

which indicated the dilution end point between 1: 1000 and 1: 10000 (Table 6).

Table 6: Effect of dilution on PRSV inoculated in non-systemic host plant.

S. No.	Dilution (Concentration)	Average no. of Local Lesion on Chenopodium Amaranticolor Leaves
1	1:01	26.65
2	1:10	16.7
3	0.111111111	9.05
4	0.736111111	3.1
5	1:10000	No lesions
6	1:100000	No lesions
7	1:1000000	No lesions
8	1:10000000	No lesions
9	1:100000000	No lesions
10	1:1000000000	No lesions

Thermal inactivation point of causal virus

The virus was found active at a temperature upto 50°C but it was inactivated at 55°C or more which indicated that the virus

was inactivated between 50 and 55°C as the sap treated at 55°C for ten minutes could not produce any lesion on *Chenopodium amaranticolor* plants (Table 7).

Table 7: Effect of temperature on PRSV inoculated in non-systemic host plants.

S. No.	Temperature (°C)	Average no. of Local Lesion on Chenopodium Amaranticolor Leaves
1	30	26.95
2	35	24.6
3	40	21.65
4	45	13.45
5	50	4.45
6	55	No lesions
7	60	No lesions
8	65	No lesions
9	70	No lesions
10	75	No lesions
11	80	No lesions
12	85	No lesions
13	90	No lesions
14	95	No lesions
15	100	No lesions

Longevity in vitro of causal virus

Table 8: Effect duration on survivability of PRSV.

S. No.	Duration (hrs.)	Reaction
1	0	30.5
2	2	23.1
3	4	19.15
4	6	10.15
5	8	4.6
6	10	No lesions
7	12	No lesions
8	14	No lesions
9	16	No lesions
10	18	No lesions
11	20	No lesions
12	22	No lesions
13	24	No lesions


Data presented in (Table 8) indicated that virus was infectious upto 8 hrs of storage at room temperature and it was inactivated after 10 hrs of storage. The longevity of virus was recorded between 8 and 10 hrs at room temperature. In our case we have observed dilution end point of between 1 x 10⁻³ to 1 x 10⁻⁴, thermal inactivation point between 50-55°C and longevity in vitro up to 8 hrs. Similar results were reported by [3,21,22]. While, [11] reported a higher thermal inactivation point between 60-65°C and some other worker reported longevity upto 24 hrs [22,23,5].

References

- Purcifull DE, Hiebert E (1978) Serological distinction of Watermelon mosaic virus isolates. *Phytopathology* 69: 112-116.
- Yeh SD, Gonsalves D (1984) Purification and immunological analysis of cylindrical inclusion protein induced by Papaya ringspot virus and Watermelon mosaic virus-1. *Phytopath* 74(11): 1273-1278.
- Wang HL, Wang CC, Chiu RJ, Sun MH (1978) A preliminary study of papaya ring spot virus in Taiwan. *Pl Prot Bull* 20(2): 133-140.
- Khurana SMP, Bhargava KS (1970) Induced apocary and "double papaya" fruit formation in papaya with Distortion ringspot virus infection. *Plant Disease Repr* 54(2): 181-183.
- Surekha SK, Mathur K, Shukla DD (1978) Virus diseases of papaya (*Carica papaya*) in Udaipur Indian. *J Mycol Pl Pathol* 7: 115-121.
- Verma HN, Prasad V (1986) Virus diseases in papaw (papaya) *Rev Trop Pl Path* 9: 311-327.
- Verma AK (1996) *Viral and Mycoplasmal Diseases of papaya (Carica*

papaya L). Disease scenario in crop plants. Vol. 1-Fruits and Vegetables (eds) Agnihotri VP, Om Prakash, Ram Kishun, Mishra A. International Books and Periodical Supply Service, India, pp. 156- 175.

- Marys EE, Carballo O, Izaguirre Maycoral ML (2000) Occurrence and relative incidence of virus infected papaya in Venezuela. *Ann Appl Biol* 136(2): 121-124.
- Singh SJ (2003) Virus and phytoplasma disease of papaya, passion fruit and pineapple. Kalyani Publishers Ludhiana pp. 147.
- Jain RK, Sharma J and Verma A (2004) Present status of Papaya ring spot virus population profile in India. *Annu Rev Plant Pathol* 3: 1-15.
- Yemewar SI, Mali VR (1980) On the identity of a sap transmissible virus of papaya in Marathwada. *Indian J Myco Pl Path* 10(2): 155-160.
- Yeh SD, Gonsalves D, Provvidenti R (1984) Comparative studies on host range and serology of Papaya ringspot virus and Water melon mosaic virus-1. *Phytopath* 74(9): 1081-1085.
- Dahal C, Lecoq H, Albrechtten SE (1997) Occurrences of Papaya ringspot potyvirus and cucurbit viruses in Nepal. *Annals of Applied Biology* 130(3): 491-502.
- Roggero P, Gotta P, Stravato VM, Dellavalle G, Ciuffo M (1999) Further spread of Moroccan water melon mosaic poty virus in Italy. *J Plant Pathology* 82(3): 351.
- Moura MC, Lima JA, Oliveira VB, Gonsalves MFS (2001) Serological identification virus species infecting cucurbit in producing areas of the state species of Maranhao, Brazil. *Fitopatologia Bras* 26(1): 90-92.
- Khurana SMP (1974) Studies on three virus disease of papaya in Gorakhpur, India. *Proc 19th International Hort, Cong, Warsa, Poland, 1A: 260.*
- Ray G, Jain RK, Bhat AI, Varma A (1999) Comparative host range and serological studies of Papaya ringspot potyvirus isolates. *Indian Phytopathology* 52: 14-17.
- Singh A, Upadhyaya PP, Rao GP (2005) Distribution and serological Diagnosis of Papaya ring spot virus in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *India J Mycol Pl Pathol* 35(2): 237-241.
- Reddy LCN (2000) Studies on Papaya ringspot virus disease on papaya (*Carica papaya* L). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, pp. 105.
- Talens AD, Alcantara BS, Yeh SD (1995) Studies of papaya viruses in the Philippines: seed transmission of Papaya ring spot virus in the Philippines. *Philippines J Crop Sci* 20(1): 23.
- Wu FC, Peng XX, Xu SH (1983) Preliminary studies on identification, purification and properties of Papaw ringspot virus in South China. *Acta Phytopathologica Sinica* 13(3): 21-28.
- Rosa DLM, Lastra R (1977) Identification and characterization of Papaya ring spot virus in Venezuela. *Proc Amer Phytopathol Soc* 4: 175-176.
- Zettler FW, Pureifull DE, Endwardson JR (1968) Some properties and classification of Papaya mosaic virus and Papaya ringspot virus. *Phytopath* 58: 554.

 This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI:10.19080/JOJIV.2017.01.555567

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats (Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>